Morihiro N¹, Masatsugu I¹, Shinji K¹, Kenichi T¹, Kazumasa M¹, Shiro B¹

1. Kitasato University

EFFECTIVENESS OF SACRAL SURFACE THERAPEUTIC ELECTRICAL STIMULATION (SSTES) ON EARLY RECOVERY OF URINARY INCONTINENCE AFTER LAPAROSCOPIC RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY.

Hypothesis / aims of study

Urinary incontinence is a common problem after radical prostatectomy. Sacral Surface Therapeutic Electrical Stimulation (ssTES) is one of the neurobionics treatments of overactive bladder and urge or stress incontinence through the efferent and afferent nerve. We analyzed the benefit of ssTES soon after laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (LRP) in terms of time to recovery and rate of urinary continence.

Study design, materials and methods

Thirty-four patients who underwent LRP performed by a single surgeon between July 2008 and June 2009 were included in this study. After surgery, the patients were randomly assigned to two treatment groups for urinary continence. Forteen patients were undergone pelvic floor muscle exercise alone soon after the LRP (PME group), and 20 patients recieved pelvic floor muscle exercise and ssTES (ssTES group). In ssTES group, patients performed ssTES twice a day for 15 minutes each for 1 month immediately after removing the urethral catheter (day 5). Urinary continence was defined that patients do not require a pad to keep their clothing dry and was evaluated between these two groups at 1, 3, 6 and 12months postoperatively.

Results

Recovery rate of urinary continence in ssTES group was 85% and 100% at 6 and 12months, respectively. In contrast, recovery rate in PFE group was 57% and 64% at 6 and 12months, respectively. There was a significant deference between ssTES group and PFE group at 12months postoperatively (P=0.007). Multivariate analysis revealed that ssTES treatment was significantly associated with recovery rate of urinary incontinence at 6 and 12months postoperatively (P=0.041, P=0.044 respectively). On the other hand, patient age, prostate weight, body mass index and nerve sparing had no significant impact on recovery rate. No remarkable adverse event was observed during the study period.

Conclusion

ssTES is safe and seems to be effective for early recovery of urinary continence after LRP.

Specify source of funding or grant	none
Is this a clinical trial?	Yes
Is this study registered in a public clinical trials registry?	No
Is this a Randomised Controlled Trial (RCT)?	Yes
What were the subjects in the study?	HUMAN
Was this study approved by an ethics committee?	Yes
Specify Name of Ethics Committee	the ethics committee of kitasato university of medicine
Was the Declaration of Helsinki followed?	Yes
Was informed consent obtained from the patients?	Yes