568

Elmér C¹, Falconer C², Hallin A¹, Larsson G³, Ek M⁴, Altman D⁵

1. Division of Surgery and Urology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Karolinska Institutet Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, 2. Division of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Karolinska Institutet Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, 3. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Västerås Hospital, Västerås, Sweden, 4. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, South Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, 5. Division of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Karolinska Institutet Danderyd Hospital and Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

CAN WE PREVENT EROSIONS AFTER TROCAR GUIDED TRANSVAGINAL MESH REPAIR?

Hypothesis / aims of study

The aim of this study was to prospectively identify potential predictors of erosions after anterior pelvic organ prolapse repair using a standardized trocar guided polypropylene mesh kit.

Study design, materials and methods

The study combines two prospective multicenter studies assessing the use of a trocar guided mesh kit for anterior vaginal wall prolapse. The trials (a prospective cohort study and a randomised controlled trial) were performed throughout 53 clinics in Sweden, Denmark, Norway and Finland using only the Prolift®-system. Both trials adopted an identical surgical mesh kit procedure for anterior prolapse repair and the study protocol was near identical for both trials with regard to inclusion and exclusion criteria, as well as, pre- and postoperative care. Host-vs.-tissue reactions of the anterior vaginal wall were evaluated at baseline, two months, and one year after surgery using a macroscopic visual inflammatory scale. Stratification and logistic regression analyses were performed in order to determine predictors of erosions at one year.

<u>Results</u>

353 patients were available for this combined analysis, 154 from the cohort study and 199 from the randomised trial. Mean age at surgery was $65.3 (\pm 9.6 \text{ SD})$ years. A post hoc power analysis using the observed OR and smoking as the independent variable showed that a sample size of 271 was necessary to achieve 80% power at a 95% significance level.

Vaginal erosions occurred in 30/353 cases (8.5%), of which the majority were mild-moderate. Univariate and multiple logistic regression showed an increased odds ratio (OR) for the occurrence of mesh erosions in women who smoked (OR 4.02, 95% CI 1.22-13.28) and who suffered from somatic inflammatory disease (OR 4.69, 95% CI 1.02-21.65). Age, body mass index, parity and menopausal status showed no significant association with postoperative mesh erosions.

Interpretation of results

Erosions are a major concern regarding the use of synthetic mesh for vaginal surgery and the escalating global use of mesh for pelvic organ prolapse entails increasing post operative adverse events attributed to the use of mesh. The endeavour to elucidate whether mesh erosions in urogynecological surgery in any way can be avoided is therefore of importance (1). To the best of our knowledge this is the largest prospective study aiming to assess risk factors for mesh erosions in anterior vaginal wall prolapse surgery using a mesh kit. We found in the current study that smoking was strongly associated with mesh erosions at one year postoperatively. This was also the case for somatic inflammatory disease, although at a lower level of significance. Several studies have shown that smoking is associated with postoperative morbidity and defective wound healing in general surgery. In urogynecological surgery, smoking as well as age, BMI, and surgical technique have been implicated as possible risk factors for erosions but the area is poorly investigated (2).

Smoking is an avoidable risk factor and several studies on perioperative smoking cessation have shown favourable results both in the achievement of abstinence and of decreased postoperative morbidity (3).Somatic inflammatory disease, including rheumatism and generalised connective tissue disorders, on the other hand is a non-avoidable risk factor. Clinicians and patients must consider the association between both avoidable and non-avoidable risk factors when planning vaginal mesh surgery and postoperative follow-up. Perioperative smoking cessation has shown beneficial effects in other areas of surgery and should be considered also in vaginal surgery. Further studies on this simple intervention which may reduce postoperative morbidity are now necessary to establish the specific benefits of smoking cessation prior to pelvic organ prolapse surgery using transvaginal mesh.

Concluding message

Smoking is an avoidable predictor of mesh erosions after anterior compartment trocar guided transvaginal mesh surgery for pelvic organ prolapse. If smoking cessation is achieved in conjunction with transvaginal mesh operations for pelvic organ prolapse it is possible that erosions to some extent can be avoided.

References

- 1. Maher C, Feiner B, Baessler K, Adams EJ, Hagen S, Glazener CM. Surgical management of pelvic organ prolapse in women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. (4):CD004014.
- 2. Lowman JK, Woodman PJ, Nosti PA, Bump RC, Terry CL, Hale DS. Tobacco use is a risk factor for mesh erosion after abdominal sacral colpoperineopexy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2008 Mar 28.

3. Moller AM, Villebro N, Pedersen T, Tonnesen H. Effect of preoperative smoking intervention on postoperative complications: a randomised clinical trial. Lancet. 2002 Jan 12;359(9301):114-7.

Specify source of funding or grant	University administered research funds including grants from the Swedish Society of Medicine
Is this a clinical trial?	Yes
Is this study registered in a public clinical trials registry?	Yes
Specify Name of Public Registry, Registration Number	www.clinicaltrials.gov, identifier NCT00402844 and NCT00566917
Is this a Randomised Controlled Trial (RCT)?	Yes
What were the subjects in the study?	HUMAN
Was this study approved by an ethics committee?	Yes
Specify Name of Ethics Committee	Regional Ethical Review board in Stockholm
Was the Declaration of Helsinki followed?	Yes
Was informed consent obtained from the patients?	Yes