

## **FESOTERODINE ESCALATION TO 8 MG AFTER SWITCH FROM FIRST OVERACTIVE BLADDER (OAB) THERAPY WITH TOLTERODINE ER IS ASSOCIATED WITH ADDITIONAL PATIENT-REPORTED TREATMENT BENEFIT IN DAILY PRACTICE: FINDINGS FROM THE IMPACTA STUDY**

### **Hypothesis / aims of study**

The aim of this study is to evaluate whether dose escalation to fesoterodine 8 mg is associated with higher patient-reported treatment (PRT) benefit compared to fesoterodine 4 mg after patient switched from tolterodine ER for the treatment of symptomatic OAB in daily practice.

### **Study design, materials and methods**

A post-hoc analysis of cross-sectional data from a retrospective one-visit study (IMPACTA study) was carried-out. Inclusion criteria were male/female >18 years, diagnosis of OAB, currently symptomatic [OAB-V8 score  $\geq 8$ ], and for whom a change due to any cause to daily fesoterodine from their first tolterodine ER-based therapy had occurred at the physician's discretion within 3–4 prior months. Patients could start at 4 mg of fesoterodine, and then be titrated to 8 mg if additional efficacy was needed. Patient-reported treatment benefit of changing was assessed using the self-administered Treatment Benefit Scale (1=greatly improved, 2=improved, 3=not changed, 4=worsened during treatment). Treatment satisfaction, worry, bothersome and interference with daily living activities of urinary symptoms were assessed based on ad-hoc questions using a Likert scale (from 1=not at all to 5=very much/quite a lot). Compliance was assessed using the Morisky-Green scale.

### **Results**

748 patients met inclusion criteria; mean [SD] age 61.4 [10.9] years; 76% women. Reasons for treatment change differed by fesoterodine dose received. Side effects was the cause of switch in 23.5% of those titrated to 4 mg and 16.1% of those escalating to 8 mg. Lack of effectiveness led to switch in 58.0% and 70.9%, respectively ( $p=0.018$ ). Compliance rate was higher with 8 mg dosing; 33.5% versus 24.9%,  $p=0.035$ . Worry, bothersome and interference-related OAB symptoms improved or showed a trend to greater improvement with higher dosing;  $p<0.05$  in most cases (see Table 1). Mean patient satisfaction with new treatment was higher with 8 mg; 3.73 [3.65-3.81] versus 3.51 [3.39-3.63],  $p=0.003$ . PRT benefit (defined as 'improved/very much improved') was also significantly higher with higher dose; 97.1% vs. 88.4%; odds ratio=4.71 [2.28-9.73],  $p<0.001$ .

### **Interpretation of results**

The main reason for switching treatment was the lack of effectiveness in both 4 mg and 8 mg, followed by side effects which generated lower switches in 8 mg escalation. The end points related to quality of life were better with 8 mg escalation than with 4 mg titration.

### **Concluding message**

Compared with 4 mg, fesoterodine dose escalation to 8 mg was associated with additional and higher PRT benefit in term of drug compliance, treatment satisfaction and improvement of urinary symptoms in symptomatic OAB patients who switched from tolterodine-ER-based therapy in daily practice.

**Table 1.** Urinary symptoms improvement after switching from tolterodine ER to fesoterodine according to fesoterodine dose at the study visit.

Urinary symptom (not at all=0 to quite a lot=5)	Total	4mg	8 mg	F <sup>§</sup>
<i>Worry</i>				
Frequency	3.2	3.4	3.1	10.4 <sup>‡</sup>
Incontinence during sexual attempt	2.2	2.1	2.2	3.2
Nocturia	3.0	3.22	2.99	6.7*
Frequency of infections	2.4	2.36	2.39	0.1
Urgency	3.2	3.38	3.17	5.0*
Bladder pain	2.3	2.43	2.32	1.6
Urge incontinence	3.1	3.32	3.06	7.0*
Urinary difficulties	2.2	2.19	2.23	0.2
Stress incontinence	2.2	2.12	2.22	1.1
<i>Bother</i>				
Urinary frequency	3.2	3.42	3.16	10.3 <sup>‡</sup>
Strong desire to urinate	3.3	3.53	3.17	16.3 <sup>‡</sup>
Urine loss associated with a strong desire to urinate	3.1	3.31	3.05	7.3*
<i>Interference with daily-living activities</i>				
Usual activities	3.0	3.13	2.99	2.4
Leisure	3.1	3.22	3.03	4.6*
Work/domestic activities	2.5	2.48	2.44	0.1

*p* significance level adjusted by sex, driven of treatment change, treatment adherence, treatment length, reason for switching; Values are mean with 95% CI. <sup>§</sup>Snedecor´ F from ANCOVA model.  
\**p*<0.05; <sup>‡</sup>*p*≤0.001

#### Disclosures

**Funding:** This study was funded by Pfizer, S.L.U. Isabel Lizarraga and Javier Rejas are employees of Pfizer, S.L.U. and Daniel Arumi is employee of Pfizer Inc, Europe. Francisco Sánchez-Ballester, David Castro-Díaz and Pilar Miranda have not received any financial support from Pfizer for writing or interpreting the present research, and declare that they do not have conflict of interests as a consequence of this abstract. **Clinical Trial:** No **Subjects:** HUMAN **Ethics Committee:** Consorcio Hospital General Universitario de Valencia **Helsinki:** Yes **Informed Consent:** Yes