

Category No.

5

Video  
Demonstration

Ref No.

362

## Abstract Reproduction Form B-1

Author(s):	Hayami S, Kageyama S, Shinbo H, Watanabe T, Suzuki K, Fujita K
	Double Spacing
Institution	Department of Urology, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine
City	3600 Hanada-cho, Hamamatsu, Japan
Country	Double Spacing
Title (type in CAPITAL LETTERS)	<b>THE DIAGNOSTIC VALUE OF POWER DOPPLER TO PREDICT HISTOLOGICAL COMPOSITIONS IN BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA</b>

**AIMS OF STUDY**

Recently Power Doppler is used to distinguish prostatic cancer from benign prostatic hyperplasia. The objective of this study is the availability of Power Doppler to predict histological compositions in benign prostatic hyperplasia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Thirteen patients were studied. Preoperatively, Power Doppler imaging analysis was performed using ultrasonic diagnostic equipment (SSA 380A, TOSHIBA, Japan) with a transrectal probe. Pulsatile blood flows were detected in all patients and calculate the resistive index (RI). Tissues obtained from 13 patients undergoing transurethral resection, suprapubic prostatectomy or radical cystectomy, were analyzed by quantitative morphometry. Computer image analyses were used to determine the mean area densities of the smooth muscle (SM), connective tissue (CT), glandular epithelium (E), lumen (L) and vascular lumen (V).

**RESULTS**

Pathological findings represent 3 normal prostate, 9 benign hyperplasia and 1 leiomyoma. The proportions of each histological component are shown in Table 1. Relationships between morphological findings and RI are shown in Table 2. It demonstrated that the increasing glandular component increases RI.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study demonstrates that Power Doppler is useful to predict the component of prostate.

Table 1. Histological composition of the respective component of patients

Patients	Area density, %				
	SM	CT	E	L	V
BPH (n=9)	25.6±1.8	30.5±3.0	15.1±1.9	16.0±3.3	7.5±1.1
Normal (n=3)	41.3±3.0	35.4±5.2	6.3±1.8	3.0±1.0	7.0±0.4
Leiomyoma (n=1)	59.5	29.0	0	0	2.2

Table 2. Correlation between relative volume of each histological component and resistive index (RI).

Tissue elements	resistive index (RI)	
	R	p value
Stromal component	-0.796	0.0011
Smooth muscle	-0.537	0.0718
Fibrous tissue	-0.717	0.0068
Glandular component	0.688	0.0114
Glandular epithelium	0.634	0.0249
Glandular lumen	0.627	0.0272
Vascular lumen	0.428	0.1698