177

Authors: JJ Wyndaele and S De Wachter

Institution: Department of Urology, University Antwerpen

Title: NORMALITY IN URODYNAMICS STUDIED IN 88 YOUNG HEALTHY VOLUNTEERS

Aims of study:

Prospectively evaluate urodynamic data in a large group of symptom-free young adults.

Methods:

After approval by the ethical committee this prospective study was opened to volunteers. A total of 46 men and 42 women, mean age 23 years (between 18 and 30) with no history, symptoms or signs of urological disease were included. The volunteers had a mean length of 175 cm (between 153 and 193) and a mean body weight of 68 kg (between 50 and 104 kg). Free flow, cystometry and pressure flow studies were performed using standard techniques.

The free flow study was done with a rotating disk flowmeter. Residual urine was measured through a 3-way 8F cystometry catheter introduced transurethrally using nonanesthetic lubricant. Cystometry was performed with 6-channel urodynamic equipment. All pressure lines were fluid filled and connected to external pressure transducers. One lumen of the cystometry catheter was used for bladder pressure measurement, 1 for measurement of urethral pressure at the external sphincter and 1 for bladder filling and emptying. Abdominal pressure was measured with an 8F fluid filled catheter introduced at least 10 cm in the rectum. The catheters were fixed on the body surface with tape while the volunteers were sitting. Continuous bladder filling was done at 50 ml with sterile saline at body temperature until maximum cystometric capacity was reached. Detrusor activity was noted. A pressure flow study was performed and the residual volume measured. Statistics were done with SPSS PC programme using non parametric tests.

Results:

Several urodynamic parameters are given in table 1. In table 2, the types of detrusor and sphincter activity during bladder filling and pressure flow are given.

Table 1 = urodynamic parameters

Free flow	Mean	SD	Min	Max				
Vol voided ml	264 *	222	10	1281				
Flow time sec	22.6 *	18.4	3	101				
Max flow ml/sec	22.1	11.4	5	55				
Average flow ml/sec	12.9	8.4	3.2	55.6				
Time to max sec	9.75 *	9.25	1	57				
Cystometry filling								
Compliance ml/cm H20	77	56	9	277				
Max cystometric capacity ml 480 *		146	148	926				
Pressure flow								
Vol voided ml	474 *	171	76	1074				
Detrusor pressure at	42.5 *	16.3	8	99				

max flow cm H20

Flow time sec	55.6 *		30.5	9	156
Max flow ml/sec	20.3 *		9	5	47
Average flow ml/sec	9.9		4.8	2	27
Time to max sec	36.3	88.7	3	751	

^{*=} significant difference between men and women

Table 2 = Detrusor activity, sphincter activity during filling and micturition, flow pattern in free flow and pressure flow.

Detrusor activityTotal Flow pattern Total

During filling Free flow (n = 50)

Overactive 11 Normal 31

Normoactive 77 Voided 2x 4

During micturition Slow start 6

Normoactive 37 Long flow + low max flow 1
Hypoactive 4 Undulating during entire flow 8

Normoactive + after contraction 20 Pressure flow Straining + detrusor contraction 17 Normal 32

Undulating during entire flow 24

Sphincter activityUndulating only at end flow4During fillingUndulating only at beginning3

Stable + gradual pressure rise 22 flow

Stable without gradual pressure rise 14 Voided 2x 8

Stable + gradual pressure loss 11 Slow start 6

Unstable 41

During flow

Relaxing 53

Intermediate relaxing 15

Nonrelaxing 4 Catheter moved 8

<u>Conclusions</u>: Most urodynamic parameters show large variations, demonstrating that urodynamic normality in a symptom-free population may correspond with a wide variety of data and patterns. Numeric urodynamic data considered primarely as pathological can also be found in asymptomatic volunteers. Technical urodynamics should be interpreted with caution. The diagnosis with urodynamics should consist of reproducing symptoms and correlate those symptoms with the urodynamic data. Urodynamic data on their own do seem to have little diagnostic value.