Yoshimura K¹, Kamoto T¹, Oka Y², Tsukamoto T³, Oshiro K⁴, Suzukamo Y⁵, Kinukawa N⁶, Ogawa O¹
1. Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, 2. Neuropsychiatric Research Institute, 3. Sapporo Medical University School of Medicine, 4. Okinawa Prefectural Nanbu Medical Center, 5. Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 6. Kyushu University Hospital

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN BOTHERSOME AND NON-BOTHERSOME NIGHT-TIME FREQUENCY

Hypothesis / aims of study

To uncover the distributions of bothersome and non-bothersome nocturnal voiding and the differences between them using a community-based study we conducted.

Study design, materials and methods

A total of 2,205 men and women aged 41-70 yr from three Japanese towns answered to a postal questionnaire survey. The questionnaire included the International Prostate Symptom Score, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), Medical Outcome Study Short Form-8, medical history of several diseases and history of cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption. We chose subjects who had one or two episodes of nocturnal voiding per night and divided them into two subgroups based on the answer to a question on trouble sleeping due to nocturnal voiding in the PSQI. We compared data regarding lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), sleep, and general-health related quality of life (GHQL) among these subgroups.

Results

Of the subjects, 314 (14.2%), 693 (31.4%), 149 (6.8%) and 168 (7.6%) had once bothersome (OBN), once non-bothersome (ONN), twice bothersome (TBN) and twice non-bothersome nocturnal voiding (TNN) per night, respectively. Regarding LUTS, the TBN group had the worst and ONN group had the best scores whilst the OBN and TNN groups had equivalent scores (Table 1). Regarding sleep and GHQL, trouble sleeping but not frequency of nocturnal voiding per se did not affect the scores (Tables 2 and 3). The TNN group generally had better scores than the OBN group, while the former had more frequent nocturnal voiding.

Interpretation of results

The LUTS other than night-time frequency were affected by both frequency of nocturnal voiding per se and trouble sleeping due to nocturnal voiding. On the other hand, sleep problems and GHQL were impacted by trouble sleeping due to nocturnal voiding but not by frequency of nocturnal voiding per se if night-time frequency is mild, once or twice.

Concluding message

Sleep and GHQL of subjects with mild (once or twice) night-time frequency is considerably impacted by sleeping troubles.

References

Table 1 Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms

	ONN	OBN	TNN	TBN	Kruskal-Wallis test (p-values)	Cludep-values					
		OBN				ONvs OBN	ONvs TN	ONVs TBN	OBN vs TNN	OBN vs TBN	TNNvsTBN
Incomplete emptying	0.39	0.65	0.61	0.89	<0.001	<0.001**	0.09	<0.001**	0.31	0.007*	0.002*
Frequency	0.92	1.22	1.32	1.87	<0.001	<0.001**	0.001**	<0.001**	0.85	<0.001***	<0.001**
Internittency	0.31	0.56	0.65	1.03	<0.001	<0.001**	0.002*	<0.001**	0.81	0.001**	0.01
Ugency	0.42	0.46	0.71	0.86	<0.001	0.07	0.007*	<0.001**	0.24	<0.001***	0.04
Weak streame	0.63	1.04	1.14	1.60	<0.001	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.92	<0.001***	0.001**
Straining	0.32	0.54	0.70	1.05	<0.001	<0.001**	0.004*	<0.001**	0.96	0.003*	0.02
Night-time frequency	1	1	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incontinence	0.22	0.22	0.34	0.41	0.029	0.83	0.52	0.004*	0.46	0.01	0.12
Voiding subscare of the I-PS	1.25	215	250	3.67	<0.001	<0.001**	<0.001***	<0.001***	0.88	<0.001***	0.001**
Filling subscare of the I-PSS	2.33	2.67	4.03	4.73	<0.001	<0.001***	<0.001***	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001***
Total score of the I-PSS	3.95	5.43	7.11	9.31	<0.001	<0.001**	<0.001***	<0.001***	0.003*	<0.001***	<0.001**
HSSQL	1.48	200	227	265	<0.001	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001***	0.33	<0.001**	0.03
Table 2 Sleep Problems											

	ONN OBN		TNN	TBN	Kruskal-Wallis test	Gude p-values							
	UN	N OBN	IINN	IDIN	(p-values)	ONN vs OBN O	NT sv/AC	NONN vs TBN	IOBN vs TNN	OBN vs TBN	TNNvsTBN		
Sleep quality	0.84	1.24	0.88	1.41	<0.001	<0.001***	0.76	<0.001***	<0.001***	0.37	<0.001***		
Sleep latency	0.61	1.12	0.77	1.51	<0.001	<0.001***	0.41	<0.001***	<0.001***	0.04	<0.001***		
Sleep duration	1.02	1.21	0.93	1.21	<0.001	0.001**	0.49	<0.001***	0.005*	0.11	<0.001***		
Sleep efficiency	0.14	0.37	0.21	0.66	<0.001	<0.001***	0.01	0.001**	<0.001***	0.91	<0.001***		
Sleep disturbance	1.67	2.15	1.63	2.25	<0.001	<0.001***	0.25	<0.001***	<0.001***	0.75	<0.001***		
Hypnotic use	0.13	0.35	0.24	0.57	<0.001	<0.001***	0.23	0.44	<0.001***	0.03	0.19		
Daytime dysfunction	0.38	0.61	0.45	0.75	<0.001	<0.001***	0.01	<0.001***	0.57	<0.001***	<0.001***		
Total score	4.78	7.05	5.16	8.37	<0.001	<0.001***	0.47	<0.001***	<0.001***	0.001**	<0.001***		

Table 3 General-Health-Related Quality of Life

	ON	OBN	TNN	TBN	Kruskal-Wallis test	Gudep-values					
					(p-values)	ONVs OBV	ONvs TN	ONVs TBN	OBN vs TNN	OBN vs TBN	TNNvsTBN
General health perception	51.9	49.4	50.9	486	<0.001	<0.001***	0.13	<0.001**	0.008*	0.24	0.003*
Physical function	50.2	49.3	49.6	480	<0.001	0.004*	0.52	<0.001***	0.16	0.04	0.003*
Rolephysical	50.4	487	49.7	47.4	<0.001	<0.001***	0.15	<0.001***	0.16	0.04	0.002*
Bodilypain	51.7	49.7	504	484	<0.001	<0.001***	0.18	<0.001***	0.15	0.11	0.01
Vitality	52.1	49.9	51.3	49.0	<0.001	<0.001***	0.15	<0.001***	0.02	0.26	0.003*
Social function	49.7	47.0	49.3	46.2	<0.001	<0.001***	0.81	<0.001***	0.001**	0.35	<0.001***
Mental health	51.0	484	50.5	48.7	<0.001	<0.001***	0.65	0.001**	0.001**	0.47	0.03
Roleemotional	50.2	480	49.5	480	<0.001	<0.001***	0.30	<0.001***	0.01	0.89	0.04
Physical component summary	50.0	48.7	48.9	468	<0.001	0.001***	0.06	<0.001***	0.5	0.003*	0.001**
Mental component summary	49.9	47.1	49.5	47.7	<0.001	<0.001***	0.78	0003*	<0.001***	0.28	0.03

^{*: 5%} significant by Bonferroni's mothod, **: 1% significant by Bonferroni's mothod. (in all Tables)

FUNDING: none

CLINICAL TRIAL REGISTRATION: This clinical trial has not yet been registered in a public clinical

trials registry.

HUMAN SUBJECTS: This study was approved by the Kyoto University Ethical Committee and followed the Declaration of Helsinki Informed consent was obtained from the patients.