Krysa J<sup>1</sup>, Lyons M<sup>1</sup>, Williams A<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Colorectal Surgery, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust

# SIMPLE QUALITY OF LIFE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR PATIENTS WITH FAECAL INCONTINENCE.

# Hypothesis / aims of study

Faecal incontinence affects 2% of the adult general population. Current assessment tools only record the frequency and type of incontinence, with no measure of the effect on the patient's quality of life. Clinicians routinely underestimate the degree of lifestyle disruption in patients with faecal incontinence (1). There is therefore a great need for a reliable and objective measure of quality of

A simple quality of life questionnaire was designed to triage patients with faecal incontinence to the most appropriate level of support, investigation and treatment.

## Study design, materials and methods

A questionnaire was developed to include a 'symptom' score, similar in content to St Mark's questionnaire (2) and a 'bothersome' score (Questionnaire 1). A pilot study (34 patients) assessed the clarity of questions (content validity). It was carried out among patients with and without symptoms of faecal incontinence in an outpatient setting. Once content validity was established the questionnaire was sent to 360 patients who attended a pelvic floor clinic. Its external validity was assessed against the SF-36 and the Manchester Health Questionnaires and ease of use assessed using a separate form.

### Each letter contained the following:

- 1. Patient information sheet
- 2. Demographic and incontinence questions
- 3. Three questionnaires: SF-36, Manchester Health and 'new' bowel control self assessment
- 4. Questions about ease of use
- 5. A stamped, self-addressed envelope.

A minimum correlation coefficient of 0.35 was shown during validation of the Manchester Health questionnaire (3). Using Pearson's correlation coefficient it was calculated that a sample size of 63 or more was needed to demonstrate correlation between the new and reference questionnaires at 80% power using a two-tailed 5-percent level of significance.

Of the 360 patients, 86 replied, with a mean age of 56 years (range 26-86, 66 female). The questionnaire was shown to be reliable both by measurement of its internal consistency, (Cronbach's alpha statistic (0.9)) and by test-retest analysis (Spearman's Correlation Coefficient (SCC): 0.8 with p<0.001). There was a significant correlation between the scores of the new questionnaire and the Manchester Health Questionnaire, (SCC: 0.43 with p<0.001), as well as the SF-36 (SCC: 0.28 with p<0.01). Divergence validity, assessed by correlating the number of pads used and the overall 'symptom' score, was established (SCC: 0.5 with p<0.001). The new questionnaire was easiest and on average took 4 minutes to complete.

# Interpretation of results

We have demonstrated that the new questionnaire is reliable and valid. It is easy and quick to complete and assesses both severity and impact of symptoms.

29% of patients scored 1 or less in any of the domains. This is the group with minimal symptoms and low impact on the quality of life who can be reassured in the community. 17% scored a maximum of 2 in one or more domains, a moderately affected group and 54% scored 3 in at least one of the domains, a severely affected group. Those with moderate and severe symptoms should be considered for a referral to a specialist clinic.

measure el control

patient-related outcom	nes including symptom	Excellence (NICE) recommend severity and quality of life for pand we recommend its use in	people with faecal incontinent	
Questionnaire 1				
Bowel control self as	ssessment questionna	ire		
Are you: Male	Female			
Please answer the fol question.	lowing questions about	your bowels by putting the m	ost appropriate number in the	e boxes provided
Where: 0 = Not at all	1 = A little	2 = Moderately	3 = A great deal	
Over the last 4 weeks	<u>s,</u>			
When you have an to open your bowels	urge to go to toilet s do you have trouble he	olding on?		
If yes, how much does	s it bother you?			

for each

Is this a clinical trial?	No
Specify source of funding or grant	None
Please return it to the nurse or to the doctor in clinic.  References  1. Incontinence. Plymouth:Health Publication Ltd 2002; 267-316.  2. Gut 1999; 44: 77-80.  3. BJOG 2001; 108: 1057-67.	
Thank you for filling in this questionnaire.	
If yes, how much does it bother you?	
5. Do you wear a pad or some form of protection for your bowel problem?	
If yes, how much does it bother you?	
4. Do you lose solid stool from your anus when you do not mean to?	
If yes, how much does it bother you?	
3. Do you lose watery stool from your anus when you do not mean to?	
If yes, how much does it bother you?	
2. Do you lose wind from your anus when you do not mean to?	

Specify source of funding or grant	None
Is this a clinical trial?	No
What were the subjects in the study?	HUMAN
Was this study approved by an ethics committee?	Yes
Specify Name of Ethics Committee	Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust ethics committee
Was the Declaration of Helsinki followed?	Yes
Was informed consent obtained from the patients?	Yes