

PELVIC FLOOR DISTRESS INVENTORY SCORES AFTER PRIMARY TENSION FREE CYSTOCELE REPAIR BY VAGINAL ROUTE USING A FOUR ARMS POLYPROPYLENE MESH: 24 MONTHS FOLLOW-UP

Hypothesis / aims of study

The aim of this study was to evaluate the anatomical and functional results of a polypropylene mesh by vaginal route using a four arms polypropylene mesh through the obturator foramen for *primary* cystocele repair.

Study design, materials and methods

29 patients underwent a primary cystocele repair by using a four arms polypropylene mesh (Avaulta solo®, Bard) through the obturator foramen. Prolapse severity was evaluated using the Pelvic Organ Prolapse staging system (POPQ) and perineal ultrasound. Symptoms were evaluated preoperatively and during follow-up using the validated Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory (PFDI) questionnaire. The PFDI SF consists of 20 questions regarding OAB, stress incontinence, stool incontinence, defecation, pelvic pain and discomfort by the prolapse itself. All patients underwent a clinical and urodynamic assessment.

Results

With a mean follow-up of 24 months, 28 of 29 patients were considered anatomically cured. 17 of the 29 patients suffered from coexisting stress incontinence preoperatively which was cured in 16 cases and significantly improved in 1 case. Mean operating time was 49 minutes. Neither significant bleeding, bladder perforation nor nerve injury were reported. So far two vaginal erosion and one recurrence were observed.

With regards to the preoperative evaluation through PFDI SF scoring, each question has a max score of 4 points, with a max total of 80 points. Pre- and postoperative median score were 34 and 24, respectively, which was highly significant ($p=0.005$). Most impressive differences were observed in question 1, 3, 16, and 18. A highly significant p -value of 0.008 was seen in the reduction of the preoperative stress incontinence.

Interpretation of results

The primary tension free cystocele repair by vaginal route using a four arms polypropylene mesh is an effective and safe procedure with low morbidity. The procedure leads to a significant improvement of all important PFDI SF domains.

Concluding message

This technique seems to be a valid surgical alternative. It should be used as a primary treatment option and not as a last resort procedure.

Specify source of funding or grant	no funding or grant
Is this a clinical trial?	Yes
Is this study registered in a public clinical trials registry?	No
What were the subjects in the study?	HUMAN
Was this study approved by an ethics committee?	No
This study did not require ethics committee approval because	it was a pilot study
Was the Declaration of Helsinki followed?	Yes
Was informed consent obtained from the patients?	Yes