ANALYSIS OF RECURRENT CASES AFTER SURGERY FOR PELVIC ORGAN PROLAPSE DURING 5 YEARS

Hypothesis / aims of study
Recently, surgical treatment for pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is improving and tension free vaginal mesh (TVM) operation has become popular in the world. It is reported that the recurrence rate after performing TVM operation was less than that after conventional operations. However, the recurrent cases are preset in definite proportions even by the experienced surgeons. In this study, we reviewed our recurrent POP cases who underwent surgical treatment to evaluate efficacy of POP surgery.

Study design, materials and methods
407 patients were received POP surgery from January 2005 to December 2009 in our hospital. Transvaginal hysterectomy (TVH)+colporrhaphy+bladder neck suspension (n=32), TVH+McCall culdeplasty (n=20), AP (anterior and posterior)-TVM (n=210), A-TVM (n=126), P-TVM (n=27) and C (complete)-TVM (n=12) were performed. All patients were followed at 1, 3, 6, 12 months after surgery to check symptoms and pelvic examination to evaluate the recurrence. We determined “recurrence” if a patient presents POP worse than POP-Q 2nd degree.

Results
17 cases presented “recurrence” who received AP-TVM (n=11), A-TVM (n=4), P-TVM (n=1) and TVH+McCall culdeplasty (n=1). Among 11 cases after AP-TVM, 9 and 2 cases presented uterine prolapse and cystocele, respectively, 2 cases underwent TVH+McCall culdeplasty due to uterine prolapse. 4 cases after A-TVM underwent TVH+McCall culdeplasty (n=2) and P-TVM (n=2). Both 2 cases after P-TVM and TVH+McCall culdeplasty presented cystocele without reoperation.

The mean recurrent point was 5.7 months after the first surgery. 3 cases presented recurrence within 1 month and all cases had a recurrence within 1 year.

Interpretation of results
Non mesh operation (TVH+colporrhaphy+bladder neck suspension and TVH+McCall culdeplasty) is presumed to be reliable operations with few recurrences. In contrast, the recurrent cases after TVM operation tended to present uterine prolapse. It means that TVM is good at supporting the level 2 of pelvic floor but not good at supporting the level 1. In addition, especially A-TVM can not support level 1 sufficiently and all recurrent cases after A-TVM required reoperation.

Concluding message
Both non mesh operation and TVM operation are sufficient surgical therapy for POP patients. It is very important to select the suitable operative procedure for each patient.
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