POSITION RELATED CHANGES IN URINE FLOW-VOLUME RELATIONS IN MEN WITH SYMPTOMATIC BENIGN PROSTATIC ENLARGEMENT: IMPORTANCE OF AVERAGE FLOW RATES

Hypothesis / aims of study
Voiding position has been reported to affect urine-flow volume relations in healthy men as well as men with benign prostatic enlargement; however the existing literature is controversial (1-3). It is important to assess, since it may have implications in proper interpretation of results of uroflowmetry and potentially management thereof. We assessed position-related changes in uroflowmetric parameters in men with symptomatic benign prostatic enlargement (BPE).

Study design, materials and methods
Men with symptomatic BPE were enrolled after written informed consent. Patients with other diseases affecting structure or function of lower urinary tract (e.g. Diabetes Mellitus, Parkinsonism, vertebral disc prolapse, urethral stricture, bladder cancer and prostate cancer) were excluded. They underwent uroflowmetry in standardized fashion using digital uroflowmeter (Solar Silver, MMS International, the Netherlands) once in each of the three voiding positions (standing, sitting and squatting). Postvoid residual urine was measured using abdominal ultrasound (profocus, BK medical, Denmark).

Results and interpretation
Total 17 men with mean (± SD) age 63.9 ± 7.1 years and body mass index 23.2 ± 4.4 kg/m² completed the study protocol. Their mean prostate volume was 33.0 ± 12.0 ml, mean international prostatic symptom score (IPSS) 22 ± 8, mean global quality of life index (QOL) 4.0 ± 0.8. IPSS and QOL had significant correlation with absolute and corrected flow rates; the relation was strongest with absolute average flow rate. There was no statistically significant difference in any uroflow parameter with respect to voiding position (table 1).

Concluding message
Voiding position does not affect uroflow parameters significantly in men with BPE. Average flow rates have stronger correlation with IPSS & QOL than maximal flow rates.

Table 1: uroflow parameters in different voiding positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Standing</th>
<th>Sitting</th>
<th>Squatting</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qmax (ml/s)</td>
<td>9.9 ± 6.1</td>
<td>8.5 ± 5.3</td>
<td>11.6 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qave (ml/s)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voided volume (ml)</td>
<td>222 ± 162</td>
<td>217 ± 142</td>
<td>241 ± 158</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postvoid residue (ml)</td>
<td>71 ± 65</td>
<td>105 ± 88</td>
<td>59 ± 60</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postvoid residue (% of bladder volume)</td>
<td>24.7 ± 19.1</td>
<td>31.8 ± 22.8</td>
<td>20.4 ± 15.2</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Qmax</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.84</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.86</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Qave</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.39</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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