Batezini N<sup>1</sup>, Girotti M E<sup>1</sup>, Zambon J P<sup>1</sup>, Almeida F<sup>2</sup>

1. UNIFESP. 2. UNIFES

# THE ROLE OF NON-INVASIVE PRESSURE FLOW STUDY IN HIGHLY SIMPTOMATIC/BOTHERED MEN WITH BLADDER OUTLET OBSTRUCION

## Hypothesis / aims of study

The gold standard to evaluate BOO is the invasive pressure-flow study. The aim of this study is to evaluate non-invasive pressure flow test to predict BOO before surgery

## Study design, materials and methods

Between January 2008 and february 2009, we prospectively evaluated men with lower urinary tract symptoms (IPSS  $\geq$  18 and QoL > 3) scheduled for complete urodynamic study (UDS). Patients with urinary infection, neurological problems, bladder stones and indwelling catheters were excluded. Patients were underwent non-invasive pressure flow test (Medplus CT 3000 – Dynamed – Sao Paulo- Brazil), just before initiate the UDS. Non-invasive pressure-flow test (NIPF) was performed with a cuff around penile body that allowed registering the pressure necessary to stop urinary flow (MCCP - maximum closure cuff pressure). The MCCP and maximum flow rate were plotted in the NewCastle's Nomogram and classified as: 1) bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) or 2) non obstructed. The UDS was performed following the International Continence Society good urodynamic practice. The pressure-flow study was performed with a 4 F catheter in stand position. The pressure-flow results were plotted in Schafer's Nomogram and patients were classified from I – VI. Patients were considered as having BOO when classified as Schafer  $\geq$  III.

## Results

We evaluated 50 men with mean age of  $65 \pm 0.05$  ranging from 24 to 35 and Quality of life score was higher than 4 in all patients. Table 1 shows the results form NIPF and invasive pressure-flow study.

Interpretation of results
Out of the 17 patients classified as non-obstructed by the non invasive study, 7 patients presented detrusor underactivity, 1 patient had BOO and 9 were non-obstructed with normal pressure-flow study by UDS. In the evaluation of BOO, the non-invasive pressure-flow demonstrated a sensitivity of 87.8 % and a specificity of 80.9%

Table 1. Comparison of Invasive and non-invasive pressure flow study on diagnosis of BOO.

	Bladder Outlet Obstruction	Non Obstructed
Invasive pressure flow study	29	21
Noninvasive pressure flow	33	17

## Concluding message

The non-invasive pressure flow study demonstrated a sensitivity of 87.8 % and a specificity of 80,9% in diagnosis of significant BOO. It should be considered as an important tool in the diagnosis, treatment and follow up of men with voiding symptoms.

Specify source of funding or grant	NONE
Is this a clinical trial?	No
What were the subjects in the study?	HUMAN
Was this study approved by an ethics committee?	Yes
Specify Name of Ethics Committee	Comite de ética da Universidade Federal de São Paulo
Was the Declaration of Helsinki followed?	Yes
Was informed consent obtained from the patients?	Yes