INTRAVESICAL PROSTATIC PROTRUSION IN BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA: DOES IT MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE IN RESULTS AFTER TRANSURETHRAL RESECTION OF THE PROSTATE?

Hypothesis / aims of study
To investigate the impact of intravesical prostatic protrusion (IPP) on lower urinary tract symptoms after transurethral resection of prostate (TURP) in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)

Study design, materials and methods
205 patients who underwent bipolar TURP from January 2005 to December 2009 were reviewed retrospectively. The patients were divided into IPP and non-IPP group based on pre-operative transrectal ultrasound and intra-operative cystoscopic findings. 98 IPP patients were compared to 107 non-IPP patients. Each group were compared for IPSS, quality of life (QoL), maximal flow rate (Qmax) and post-void residual urine volume (PVR) pre-operatively and 1, 6, and 12 months post-operatively.

Results
Pre-operative IPSS (voiding, storage) and QoL were statistically higher in IPP group, but Qmax and PVR showed no difference. Post-operatively, compared to pre-operative findings, IPSS, QoL, Qmax and PVR have improved statistically, but the degree of improvement didn’t show any differences between two groups(Table 1).

Interpretation of results
As the other report[1,2], IPP showed difference in symptoms especially in IPSS pre-operatively and the lower urinary tract symptoms have improved considerably after TURP. But, IPP didn’t make any differences in the degree of improvement compared to non-IPP group post-operatively[3].

Concluding message
IPP, compared with non-IPP in BPH didn’t make any difference in results after TURP.

Table 1 Preoperative and postoperative values of the two groups (Mean±SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPP group (n=98)</th>
<th>Non-IPP group (n=107)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-op</td>
<td>Post-op</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSS- VS</td>
<td>13.8±4.8 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSS- SS</td>
<td>10.9±2.4 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoL</td>
<td>4.6±1.0 †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qmax</td>
<td>8.6±3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 compared with IPP group vs. non-IPP group,
†p<0.05 compared with Pre-op,
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