One-year outcomes of Interstim X recharge-free Sacral Neuromodulation (SNM) device: Results from a UK centre

ity Collogo

University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

639

Ong K, Jenks J, Toia B, Mercado-Campero A, Nobrega R, Noah A, Gresty H, Ockrim J, Greenwell T, Pakzad M





Hypothesis / aims of study

- This is the first study reporting the outcomes of Interstim X (Medtronic[™]) recharge-free sacral neuromodulation (SNM) device.
- It was approved for use in the UK in January 2023.



 We report our 1-year experience of using this device in the treatment of overactive bladder (OAB) and chronic urinary retention (CUR).

Study design, materials and methods

 Prospective observational study was conducted between January 2023-January 2024

Results: CUR cohort

- 19 (86.4%) patients were catheter dependant pre- SNM therapy.
- 2 (9.1%) patients were catheter dependent post- SNM therapy.



Results: CUR cohort

- There was no significant difference in their symptom severity score including urinary symptom profile (USP) (p=0.09).
- Consecutive patients who underwent implantation of Interstim X device in a tertiary referral centre.

Primary outcome:

- Efficacy data including quality of life measures and symptom severity scores were recorded.
- Successful outcome was defined as ≥50% reduction in urge urinary incontinence (UUI)/ urinary-frequency episodes for OAB and ≥ 50% reduction in need for self-catheterisation for CUR.

Secondary outcome:

Adverse events and device-related complications were also collected.

Results: Demographics and indications

• There were a total of 31 patients (25 females, 6 males; mean age 46.4, range 23 -78 years)

Indications for implant :

- CUR (n=19, 61%)
- Refractory OAB (n=10, 32%) or
- Both (n=2, 7%).
- 16 patients (52%) patients had exchange of Interstim II battery to Interstim X (for depletion) and 15 patients (48%) underwent a first stage trial (FST).

Indication for SNM therapy and procedure

		Battery excha	ange 📕 Fii	rst stage trial	
20					
18					
16					
14					
12					
10					
8					
6					

 However, there was a significant difference in their urethral pain score on the visual analogue scale (p= 0.024) and quality of life measures (p<0.001).

	Pre- SNM therapy	Post – SNM therapy	P- value
Urinary Symptom Profile (USP)	9.27 (range 0 – 35)	4.81 (range 0 -33)	p = 0.09
International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS)	6.7 (range 0 -32)	4.81 (range 0 – 34)	p = 0.5
IPSS – Quality of life score	5.73 (range 4 – 6)	1.5 (range 0 -5)	p <0.05
Urethral pain Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)	5.73 (range 0 – 10)	1.67 (range 0 -7)	p = 0.024

Results: Satisfaction and complications

- The mean Patients Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I) score was 1.57 (range 1 – 3).
- There were no reported intra-operative or device-associated complications/compatibility issue.
- Post-operatively, 1 patient (3%) had a superficial wound infection, and 2 patients (7%) reported stimulation-related discomfort.

Conclusion

- The Medtronic[™] Interstim X device provides safe and effective SNM therapy for patients with both OAB and CUR.
- There were no device-related complications.
- There were no incompatibility issues identified for patients who attended for battery exchange with a pre-existing Medtronic[™] lead



Results: Outcomes

- Overall, 29 of patients (93.5%) responded to SNM therapy. One patient underwent explantation after FST due to inefficacy.
- One patient had a depleted Interstim II battery (implanted in South Africa) with return of OAB symptoms, chose Interstim X for battery exchange but remained wet post-procedure.
- In the OAB cohort, 11 (92%) had ≥50% reduction in UUI episodes/urinary frequency.

in-situ.

 The high therapy response rate observed requires further evaluation through longer follow-up to ensure longevity.

References

Benson, K., McCrery, R., Taylor, C., Padron, O., Blok, B., de Wachter, S.,
Pezzella, A., Gruenenfelder, J., Pakzad, M., Perrouin-Verbe, M.A., Van
Kerrebroeck, P., Mangel, J., Peters, K., Kennelly, M., Shapiro, A., Lee, U.,
Comiter, C., Mueller, M., Goldman, H., Lane, F., 2020. Neurourol Urodyn 39.
Campbell, J.D., Gries, K.S., Watanabe, J.H., Ravelo, A., Dmochowski, R.R.,
Sullivan, S.D., 2009. BMC Urol 9.
Engeler, D.S., Meyer, D., Abt, D., Müller, S., Schmid, H.P., 2015. BMC Urol 15.
Pezzella, A., McCrery, R., Lane, F., Benson, K., Taylor, C., Padron, O., Blok, B.,
de Wachter, S., Gruenenfelder, J., Pakzad, M., Perrouin-Verbe, M.A., van
Kerrebroeck, P., Mangel, J., Peters, K., Kennelly, M., Shapiro, A., Lee, U.,
Comiter, C., Mueller, M., Goldman, H.B., 2021. Neurourol Urodyn 40.
Sutherland, S.E., Lavers, A., Carlson, A., Holtz, C., Kesha, J., Siegel, S.W.,
2007. Sacral nerve stimulation for voiding dysfunction: One institution's 11-year
experience, in: Neurourology and Urodynamics.