INTERNET-BASED TREATMENT OF STRESS URINARY INCONTINENCE: A RANDOMISED CONTROLLED STUDY.

Hypothesis / aims of study
Stress urinary incontinence affects one in eight women and may cause distress.[1] Pelvic floor muscle training is the recommended first line of treatment.[1, 2] However, many women never seek medical attention, sometimes due to shame. Internet-delivered treatment is a growing field,[3] and women often use the Internet for information on health care issues, particularly for conditions perceived as embarrassing. The aim of this study was to compare the effectiveness of an Internet-based treatment programme with a treatment programme sent by post for stress urinary incontinence.

Study design, materials and methods
This randomised, controlled study included 250 community-dwelling women, aged 18–70 years, with stress urinary incontinence at least once weekly. Consecutive recruitment was achieved after online registration at the study’s open access website. Clinical diagnosis was based on a validated questionnaire (ICIQ-UI SF), a 2-day bladder diary, and a telephone interview with an urotherapist. Women with symptoms that required physical examination were excluded. The study was conducted in Sweden in 2010-2011.

The study included two parallel treatment arms. Randomisation was computer-generated in blocks of eight, and allocation was performed by an independent administrator. The arms included three months of the following:
1. An Internet-based treatment programme (n=124).
2. A treatment programme sent by post (n=126).

Both programmes were based mainly on pelvic floor muscle training. The Internet-based programme was more extensive, and included support from an urotherapist, through asynchronous encrypted email contact. No face-to-face contact was made throughout the study. Study participants, caregivers and researchers were not blinded to the group allocations.

Patients were followed up at 4 months after treatment initiation, through self-assessed postal questionnaires. Primary outcomes were symptom-score (ICIQ-UI SF) and condition-specific quality of life (ICIQ-LUTSsqol).

Results
The mean age was 48.6 years (range 23-70). In all, 12.0% (n=30) of participants were lost to follow-up (Internet 13.7% (n=17), postal 10.3% (n=13), p=0.41).

Primary outcomes
Both groups improved significantly (p<0.001) in symptom-scoring and condition-specific quality of life, but the observed differences between the groups were not significant. (Table I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome variable</th>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>4 month</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Within group</th>
<th>Between groups</th>
<th>Effect size (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICIQ-UI SF</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>10.2 (3.0)</td>
<td>6.9 (3.1)</td>
<td>3.4 (3.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.99 (0.76-1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postal</td>
<td>10.2 (3.3)</td>
<td>7.3 (3.3)</td>
<td>2.9 (3.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.72-1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICIQ-LUTSsqol</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>32.6 (6.0)</td>
<td>27.9 (6.0)</td>
<td>4.8 (6.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.79 (0.57-1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postal</td>
<td>33.3 (8.2)</td>
<td>28.8 (7.3)</td>
<td>4.6 (6.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.47-0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary outcomes
After treatment, the leakage was perceived as much or very much improved, by 41% of the Internet-group and 27% of the postal-group. (Fig 2) Compared to the postal group, more participants in the Internet-group reduced the use of incontinence aids (41% vs. 60%, p=0.02), and indicated satisfaction with the treatment programme (62% vs. 85%, p<0.001).
Health-specific quality of life improved significantly in the Internet-group (mean change 3.7 [95% CI 1.6–5.8]), but not in the postal-group (mean change 1.9 [-0.5–4.3]). Both groups experienced significantly reduced (p<0.001) numbers of weekly leakage episodes (mean reduction: Internet 7.6 [95% CI 5.7-9.5]), postal 4.5 [3.0-6.0]). However, the groups were not significantly different in these latter two measures.

After treatment, 70% of participants in both groups had either ceased to leak, or reduced the number of weekly leakage episodes by over 50%.

![Diagram showing distribution of responses on the patient global impression of improvement rating scale by treatment group.]

No important side effects were registered.

**Interpretation of results**

For primary outcomes, significant improvements with large effect sizes were observed in both groups. More improvements were recorded for the Internet-group, but no significant differences were found between the groups. However, the Internet-based treatment was more effective for most secondary outcomes.

**Concluding message**

Internet-based treatment of stress urinary incontinence is an effective treatment alternative.
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