Cheung R Y K1, Chan S S C1, Yiu A K W1, Lee L L1

1. The Chinese University of Hong Kong

# PREVALENCE OF PELVIC ORGAN PROLAPSE IN DIFFERENT COMPARTMENTS IN SYMPTOMATIC CHINESE WOMEN

#### Hypothesis / aims of study

Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is a very common problem in elderly women across different populations. Limited studies were reported in the prevalence and incidence of pelvic organ prolapse, but mainly in western countries (1-2). On examination, anterior compartment prolapse is the most frequently reported site of prolapse followed by posterior compartment defects and then apical prolapse (3). This study aims at exploring any difference in the prevalence of prolapse in difference compartments in Chinese women symptomatic of POP.

# Study design, materials and methods

This is a cohort study included all Chinese women who presented to our urogynaeocology clinic with symptoms of POP from 2001-2013. Basic demographic data, symptoms on urinary and faecal incontinence and POP were obtained using a standard datasheet at the first consultation; and physical examination was performed to assess for POP using POP-Q staging for every women. Prevalence of prolapse in difference compartments was then analyzed. Ethics approval was obtained from local institute.

#### Results

During that period, 6935 women were referred for urogynaeoclogy problems, with 2884 women complained of POP. Their mean age was 64.5 (SD: 12.7) year old. The mean number of vaginal delivery was 3.6 (SD 2.0). The mean Body mass index (BMI) was 24.6 kg/m² (SD: 3.7). In all, 77.3% (2229/2884) of them were menopaused and 29.1% (839/2884) of them were sexually active. Among all women, there was 47.95% (1383/2884) reporting urinary stress incontinence, 32.91% (949/2884) had urinary urge incontinence, 6.14% (177/2884) had faecal incontinence and 12.83% (370/2884) had flatal incontinence.

The prevalence of prolapse in different compartment according to POP-Q system was listed in table 1. Overall, 99 (3.4%) of them was found to have no prolapse in any compartment. 279 (9.7%) of them had prolapse only involved in one single compartment.

The characteristics of women with varies stages of prolapse were shown in Table 2. Women with prolapse Stage III or IV were significantly elder and had more vaginal deliveries than those without prolapse. Women with Stage III or IV prolapse were significantly elder than those with Stage I and II.

## Interpretation of results

90.3% of the symptomatic women had pelvic organ prolapse in more than one compartments. 92% of them had anterior compartment prolapse while 83.3% of them had apical compartment prolapse and only 44.0% of them had posterior compartment prolapse. Besides, women with severe prolapse (Stage III or IV) were significantly elder than those with mild prolapse (Stage I or II).

### Concluding message

The prevalence of prolapse in different compartments observed in our Chinese population was different to previous reported in western countries. Apical compartment prolapse was more common in Chinese women. Further study is required to investigate possible factors to account for this difference.

Table 1. Prevalence of pelvic organ prolapse in difference compartment in symptomatic Chinese women

Overall staging	Anterior compartment	Apical compartment	Posterior compartment
(n=2785)	( n=2785)	(n=2785)	(n=2785)
3.4%	8%	16.7%	56.0%
33.0%	52.4%	40.1%	32.0%
49.4%	34.8%	30.3%	8.4%
11.3%	2%	10.1%	0.8%
2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%
	33.0% 49.4% 11.3%	3.4% 8% 33.0% 52.4% 49.4% 34.8% 11.3% 2%	3.4% 8% 16.7%   33.0% 52.4% 40.1%   49.4% 34.8% 30.3%   11.3% 2% 10.1%

Table 2. Characteristics of women with symptomatic POP

	Overall	Stage 0	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Stage IV
Age (years)	64.5 (12.7)	58.6 (15.6)	62.6 (13.5) *	64.7(11.9)*,**	69.3 (10.9)*, **,***	69.6 (11.0)*, **, ***
Parity	3.7 (2.0)	2.9 (2.3)	3.5 (1.9)	3.8 (2.0)*,**	3.9 (2.1)*,**	3.8 (2.1)*
No. Vaginal delivery	3.6 (2.0)	2.9 (2.2)	3.4 (2.06)	3.8 (2.0)*,**	3.8 (2.1)*	3.8 (2.1)*
BMI (kg/m²)	24.6 (3.7)	23.3(5.6)	24.0(3.5)	25.0 (3.6)*,**	24.9 (3.4)*,**	25.0 (3.7)

Data is presented in mean (standard deviation)

<sup>\*</sup>p<0.05 vs Stage 0, \*\*p<0.05 vs Stage I, \*\*\* p<0.05 vs Stage II

#### References

- 1. Sears CL, Wright J, O'Brien J, Jezior JR, Hernandez SL, Albright TS, Siddique S, Fischer JR. The racial distribution of female pelvic floor disorders in an equal access health care system. J Urol. 2009;181:187-92.
- 2. Nygaard I, Bradley C, Brandt D; Women's Health Initiative. Pelvic Organ prolapse in older women: prevalence and risk factors. Obstet Gynecol. 2004 Sep; 104:489-97.
- 3. Hendrix SL, Clark A, Nygaard I, Aragaki A, Barnabei V, McTiernan A. Pelvic organ prolapse in the Women's Health Initiative: gravity and gravidity. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002;186:1160-6.

### **Disclosures**

Funding: Not applicable Clinical Trial: No Subjects: HUMAN Ethics Committee: Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong - New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee Helsinki: Yes Informed Consent: No