

ANALYSIS OF THE PREDICTIVE FACTORS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF CHRONIC URINARY RETENTION IN MALE OR FEMALE

Hypothesis / aims of study

Chronic urinary retention (CUR) is the common urological symptom and needs treatment including catheterization or medication. There are two patterns of prognosis for CUR, spontaneous voiding without post-void residual urine or prolonged status of catheterization [1]. However, there were few studies indicating factors which affected two patterns of prognosis for CUR and almost those studies were performed for male but none for female [2,3]. We aim to assess the patient conditions before or after chronic urinary retention as predictive factors for improvement of CUR not only in male but also in female.

Study design, materials and methods

This retrospective study involved patients who have symptoms of chronic urinary retention in our hospital from April 2008 to August 2013. CUR was defined as the status of a bladder that did not empty completely and have post-void residual urine of more than 300 ml. Exclusion criteria were presence of bladder or prostate cancer, urethral stricture, or bladder stone. Patients were divided into two groups for presence or absence of spontaneous voiding defined as emptying with post-void residual urine of less than 100ml in the absence of operation or catheterization during 3 months after CUR. Evaluated factors were age (more than 80), presence of neurological disease, prostate volume (more than 30cm³, in the male cases), pre-use of anti-cholinergics, or treatment options (post-use of cholinergics, alpha adrenergic antagonists or intermittent catheterization).

Results

There were 172 males with presence (n=114) or absence (n=58) of spontaneous voiding and 51 females with presence (n=31) or absence (n=20) of spontaneous voiding. Predictive factors were post-use of cholinergics (OR 2.956, $p = 0.0055$) or intermittent catheterization (OR 8.749, $p < 0.0001$) in male, age (OR 0.1186, $p = 0.0056$) in female, respectively (Tables 1, 2).

Interpretation of results

Treatment options are useful for improvement of CUR but the prior conditions did not affect improvement in male cases. However in contrast, age as one of prior conditions indicating related decrease in bladder contractility was important for improvement of CUR in female cases. These results suggested that male voiding was a complex model associated with bladder contraction and urethral resistance but female voiding was a simple model associated only with bladder contraction.

Concluding message

The mechanism underlying CUR in female could be different from the one in male. CUR should be treated by medication or intermittent catheterization in male, but might not improve with treatment at the age more than 80 in female.

Table 1. Factors affecting prognosis of chronic urinary retention in male

	Spontaneous voiding		Univariate analysis (Fisher's exact test)	Multivariate analysis (Logistic regression)	
	present	absent	p value	OR	p value
No. pts	114	58			
Age			0.4039		
	>80	39			
	≤80	75			
Neurological disease			0.1974		
	present	16			
	absent	98			
Prostate volume (cm ³)			0.4964		
	>30	75			
	≤30	41			
Anti-cholinergics pre-use			0.0481*	2.221	0.1053
	present	29			
	absent	85			
Treatment options					
Cholinergics			< 0.0001*	2.956	0.0055*
	present	87			
	absent	27			
Alpha adrenergic antagonists			0.0095*	2.24	0.134
	present	104			
	absent	10			
Intermittent catheterization			< 0.0001*	8.749	< 0.0001*
	present	107			
	absent	7			

Table 2. Factors affecting prognosis of chronic urinary retention in female

	Spontaneous voiding		Univariate analysis (Fisher's exact test)	Multivariate analysis (Logistic regression)	
	present	absent	p value	OR	p value
No. pts	31	20			
Age			0.0199*	0.1186	0.0056*
	>80	14			
	≤80	17			
Neurological disease			0.225		
	present	8			
	absent	23			
Anti-cholinergics pre-use			0.668		
	present	3			
	absent	28			
Treatment options					
Cholinergics			0.0134*	2.182	0.4959
	present	26			
	absent	5			
Alpha adrenergic antagonists			0.0419*	3.726	0.3351
	present	27			
	absent	4			
Intermittent catheterization			0.0110*	9.827	0.0585
	present	30			
	absent	1			

References

1. Negro CL et al. Chronic urinary retention in men: how we define it, and how does it affect treatment outcome. BJU Int. 110:1590-4. 2012.
2. Bates TS et al. Is the conservative management of chronic retention in men ever justified? BJU Int. 92:581-3. 2003.
3. Ghalayini IF et al. A prospective randomized trial comparing transurethral prostatic resection and clean intermittent self-catheterization in men with chronic urinary retention. BJU Int. 96:93-7. 2005.

Disclosures

Funding: none **Clinical Trial:** No **Subjects:** HUMAN **Ethics Committee:** Japan Red Cross Otsu Hospital Ethics Committee **Helsinki:** Yes **Informed Consent:** Yes