## 563

Gurbuz C<sup>1</sup>, Coskun B<sup>2</sup>, Bayrak O<sup>3</sup>, Demirtas A<sup>4</sup>, Dincer M<sup>5</sup>, Onem K<sup>6</sup>, Onur R<sup>7</sup>

1. Istanbul Medeniyet University Goztepe Training and Research Hospital Department of Urology, 2. Uludag University Department of Urology, 3. Gaziantep University Department of Urology, 4. Erciyes University Department Of Urology, 5. Istanbul Bagcilar Training and Research Hospital Department of Urology, 6. Samsun Ondokuz Mayis University Department of Urology, 7. Marmara University Pendik Training and Research Hospital Department of Urology University Department of Urology, 7. Marmara University Pendik Training and Research Hospital Department of Urology University Department of Urology, 7. Marmara University Pendik Training and Research Hospital Department of Urology

# FEMALE STRES URINARY INCONTINENCE SURGICAL MANAGEMENT ; SURVEY OF PRACTICE PATTERNS AMONG TURKISH UROLOGIST

### Hypothesis / aims of study

The aim of this study was to survey urologists regarding the surgical management of female stress urinary incontinence (SUI). This is the first study in our country investigated urologist approach on the management of SUI.

#### Study design, materials and methods

A comprehensive survey consisting of 11 questions was sent to members of the "Turkish Urology Association in a ground-mail (Table 1), the participation was anonymous and participants were able to complete the survey only once. Survey questionnaires conducted by Turkish Urology Incontinence Study Group members. Data analysis was carried out by the survey provider.

#### **Results**

Of the 500 urologists to whom the survey was sent, 218 answered the survey ( 43.6%). Overall, 19.10% of urologists reported female urology practice maintains higher than 20% of their daily workload..A Transobturatuar tape (TOT) mid-urethral sling (MUS) was the most common procedure that was offered (74%).Urodynamic study (UDS) was ordered only the patients have concomitant filling and evacuation symptoms ( 70%). Valsalva Leak Point Pressure value detected in UDS was important factor to choose the surgical options( 78%). Possible surgical alternative for mixed type urinary incontinence was TOT (56%).The definition of success after the surgery was patient satisfaction report ( 55%). Cystoscopy was not applied routinely after TOT procedure( 58%). Duloxetin oral tablet plus pelvic floor exercise (PFE) was the most common offer after failed surgery (37%). Mesh exicison (57%) was the most common practice soon after MUS if the patient had severe bladder emptying problem. Observing the complication after MUS was under 10 percent (69%). Botulinum Toxin A administration was not offered in patients with oral anticholinergic drug resistant over active bladder (52%). Follow up( FU) time frequency after MUS was 6 months ( 42 %) and maximum FU time duration was 5 years( 76%)

#### Interpretation of results

A TOT MUS procedure was the most commonly recommended surgical procedure with a minimum complication rate among the participants. Bulking agents were rarely recommended in the surgical treatment of female SUI. Conservative approaches such as PFE and duloxetine oral tablet are the most commonly offer after failed MUS for recurrent/refracter SUI. After failed MUS surgeries, rectus fascial sling or Burch colposuspension procedures were offered with a rate of 11.05 %. It was almost similar rate with Re do TVT/TOT offer (10.05 %). Majority of the answers received from participants were consistent with ICS Recommendations. However, VLPP value detected in UDS was critical to select the surgery type.

#### Concluding message

There seems to be consensus among Turkish Urologists regarding the management of female SUI. Majority of their daily practice with a small difference compatible with Incontinence Guidelines. However there are still controversial issues among the urologists.

Table 1- Survey Q/A regarding the surgical management of female stress urinary incontinence

	Aregarung	ine surgical m	anagement of remain	e stress unnary inco	Indhence	
Survey Questions						
Primary	TVT	TOT	Burch	Fascial Sling	Bulking agent	
choose for	(15 %)	(74%)	Colposuspension	(3%)	injections	
SUI surgical	(,	(,.)	(1%)	(-,-)	(2%)	
treatment			(170)		(270)	
	Always	Filling and	Never			
UDS	Always	Filling and	Never			
requirement	(23%)	emptying	(5%)			
before		symptoms				
operation		(70%)				
VLLP values;	No	Yes				
How effect the	(20%)	(78%)				
	(2070)	(1070)				
way of your						
surgical						
selection						
Mixed type	No	TVT	TOT	Burch	Fascial sling	Bulking
urinary	surgery	(13%)	(56%)	colposuspension	(4%)	agent
incontinence (	offered	(,	()	(2%)	(,	injections
drug	(15%)			(~ /0)		(3%)
	(15%)					(370)
refractory)	<b>-</b>					
Definition of	Total	Patient	Negative stres	Half reduction of		
success after	dryness	satisfaction	test during	the number of		
ASI surgery	(28%)	(55%)	vaginal	pad usage		
	( )	()	investigation	(1.8%)		
			(8%)	(11070)		
Routine	No	Yes	(070)			
cystoscopy	(58%)	(37%)				
check	(3070)	(37 /0)				
peroperatively						
after TOT						
After failed	Duloxetine	PTE	TVT	TOT	Burch	Fascial
MUS, What is	(7%)	(11%)	(3%)	(6%)	colposuspension	sling
your next for					(4%)	(6%)
SUI					· · /	、 <i>,</i>
Severe	CIC	Excision of	Mesh take out			
emptying	(30%)	mesh	(6%)			
	(30%)		(0%)			
symptoms		(57%)				
developed						
after MUS						
Complication	Never	Less than	Between 10 -20			
rate you faced	(15%)	10 %	%			
after MUS		(69%)	(11.5%)			
Botulinum	No	100 IU	200 IU detrusor	300 IU detrusor	100 IU	
Toxin A	(52%)	detrusor	muscle	muscle	submucosal	
	(02/0)					
application for		muscle	(8%)	(4%)	(2%)	
medical		(26%)				
refractory						
OAB						
Frequency of	3 months	6 months	Once a year			
FU time after	(40%)	(42%)	(15%)			
MUS	(,	(,.,,	(,			
How long you	Life long	5 years	10 years			
follow the	(16%)	(76%)	(2%)			
patient after						
MUS						
			a			

Abreviations; Q/A, Questions and Answers. SUI ; stress urinary incontinence. VLPP ; Valsalva Leak Point Pressure. MUS; Mid Urethral Sling. TOT; Transobturatuar tape. UDS; Urodynamic Studies. A given percentages were calculated by dividing the total number of selected single choice for each question to total participants.

Percentange of multiple selected choices and questions lefted empty were not presented.

Disclosures

Funding: None Clinical Trial: Yes Public Registry: No RCT: No Subjects: NONE