Sakamoto H¹, Asakura H¹, Hayashi T², Hanashima F², Nakahira Y², Yanaihara H² 1. Saitma Medical School, 2. Saitama Medical School

DRY MOUTH OF OAB PATIENTS TREATED WITH MIRABEGRON AND ANTIMUSCARNICS

Hypothesis / aims of study

Dry mouth is the most common adverse event of pharmacotherapy in OAB patients. We can use very easy and convenient objective examination, that is, Mucus test (oral moisture meter). The purpose of this study is to investigate the clinical characteristics of dry mouth in OAB patients treated with antimuscarnics and mirabegron by subjective and objective examinations.

Study design, materials and methods

OAB patients treated with medications including mirabegron and antimuscarnics (fesoterodine, propiverine, solifenacin and imidafenacin) between July and October 2015 were enrolled into this study. As a control group, we selected LUTS patients treated with alpha-blockers. Subjective evaluation of dry mouth (xerostomia) included a single item subjective questionnaire (How often do you feel dry mouth?) and General Oral Health Assessment) (GOHAI) Japanese version. Mucus test has proved its usefulness in the evaluation of hyposalivation in Sjogrens's syndrome (#1). Standard value of oral moisture content in healthy subject is equal and more than 30.0 and low oral moisture (Mucus value < 30). Patients were divided into normal and low oral moisture groups in accordance with Mucus values. Presence or absence of xerostomia was determined on the basis of the answer of single item questionnaire.

Results

Enrolled patients with were divided into mirabegron (n=10), antimuscarinics (n=30) and control groups (n=15). In mirabegron group, rate of normal moisture level was 90%, however, rate of xerostimia was 30%. On the other hand, in antimuscarnic group, rate of normal moisture level was 30%, however, rate of xerostomia was 20%. These findings suggested subjective symptom (xerostomia) may not be associated with objective findings such as oral moisture level. The QOL of each group was not significantly different using GOHAI.

	Mirabegron (n=10)	Antimuscarnics (n=30)	Control (n=15)
Age	72.6 ± 9.8	70.1 ± 10.7	71.0 ± 6.6
Female/Male	6/4	12/18	3/12
Tongue moisture value	30.8 ± 0.7	30.4 ± 1.5	30.1 ± 1.0
Rate of xerostomia*	30% (3/10)	20% (6/30)	0% (0/15)
Rate of low oral moisture	10% (1/10)	33% (10/30)	60% (9/15)
GOHAI total score	53.4 ± 8.8	53.6 ± 8.4	55.9± 4.5

Dry mouth of OAB patients treated with pharmacotherapy

* On the basis of a single item questionnaire ** According to the results of Mucus® test

Concluding message

Low oral moisture in mirabegron treatment may be less frequent compared with antimuscarnics treatment. However, symptom of dry mouth may be not so different between mirabegron and antimuscarnics treatment. Oral moisture level might be not associated with subjective perception for dry mouth (Xerosomia).

References

1. Br J Radiol 2011;84:393-402

Disclosures

Funding: None Clinical Trial: Yes Public Registry: No RCT: No Subjects: HUMAN Ethics not Req'd: this is the retrospective study Helsinki: Yes Informed Consent: Yes