## 239

Yeh H<sup>1</sup>, Jhang J<sup>2</sup>, Lee Y<sup>2</sup>, Birder L<sup>3</sup>, Chancellor M B<sup>4</sup>, Tian J<sup>2</sup>, Kuo H<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Nursing, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital and Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan, 2. Department of Urology, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital and Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan, 3. Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, USA, 4. William Beaumont School of Medicine, Oakland University, Royal Oak, Michigan, USA

# PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS FOR DIFFERENT THERAPEUTIC STRATEGIES IN THE MANAGEMENT KETAMINE CYSTITIS

### Hypothesis / aims of study

Long-term ketamine abuse results in severely inflamed bladder and intractable bladder pain. Currently there is no guideline for clinician to follow how to manage patients with ketamine cystitis (KC). This study analyzed the KC patient characteristics between who received conservative management and augmentation enterocystoplasty (AE).

#### Study design, materials and methods

A total of 53 patients with chronic ketamine abuse and lower urinary tract symptoms were included in this study. All of the patients have been initially treated conservatively but fail. They were admitted for detailed urological examinations. Patients were classified according to their maximal bladder capacity (MBC). The patients with extremely small MBC (<100 mL) with or without upper urinary tract damage and very small MBC with upper urinary tract damage were recommended to receive AE. The patient characteristics and treatment outcome are compared between patients with AE and conservative treatment.

#### Results

Among them, 28 patients underwent AE and 25 were managed with conservative treatment. The only significant difference between groups was more patients with urgency urinary incontinence underwent AE. Patients underwent AE had significantly smaller MBC, thicker bladder wall, and higher incidence of vesicoureteral reflux. Patients underwent AE reported a good outcome. Most of patients received conservative treatment had a fair result.

#### Interpretation of results

The results of this study revealed that between KC patients undergoing conservative treatment and AE, the bladder capacity and upper urinary tract damage are two major factors. Cessation of ketamine use is the mainstay of control lower urinary tract symptoms in KC patients. However, for the patients who already developed a contracted bladder with extremely small bladder capacity (<100 mL) or very small capacity (100-300 mL) with irreversible urinary tract change such as thickened bladder wall and hydronephrosis, partial cystectomy and AE seems necessary for early restoration of a normal lower urinary tract function. The treatment outcome of AE is better than patients with conservative treatment. However, education and absolute cessation of ketamine use is still strongly recommended after AE.

### Concluding message

KC patients who already developed a contracted bladder with extremely small bladder capacity (<300 mL) with irreversible urinary tract change, partial cystectomy and AE seems necessary for early restoration of a normal lower urinary tract function. The treatment outcome of AE is better than patients with conservative treatment.

<b>T</b> I I A	<b>^ ′</b>		· ·			
Table 1.	Causes of	patient selection	for conservative	treatment and	augmentation e	enterocystoplasty

Conservative treatment	Augmentation enterocystoplasty		
MBC>300 mL	MBC<100 mL with or without upper urinary tract		
Normal upper urinary tract	damage		
Improved bladder symptoms after treatment	MBC<300 mL with upper urinary tract damage		
Patients is afraid of surgery	Intractable bladder symptoms after treatment		
Doctor's opinion			
	Urge to change bladder condition		
	Small functional bladder capacity persists		

Table 2. The baseline patient characteristics between patients undergoing augmentation enterocystoplasty (AE) and conservative treatment

	Non-AE (n=25)	AE (n=28)	P value
Sex (Male/female)	14/11	11/17	0.224
Ketamine abuse	4.56 ± 2.53	4.54 ± 2.72	0.973
Frequency	100%	100%	1.0
Urgency	100%	100%	1.0
UŪ	5/25 (20%)	17/28 (60.7%)	0.003
Bladder pain	17/25 (68%)	23/28 (82.1%)	0.232
Hematuria	12/25 (48%)	9/28 (32.1%)	0.239
Quit ketamine	20/25 (80%)	20/28 (71.4%)	0.469

AE: augmentation enterocystoplasty, UUI: urgency urinary incontinence

Table 3. The baseline patient urinary tract characteristics between patients undergoing AE and conservative treatment

· · · · ·	Non-AE (n=25)	AE (n=28)	P value
FBC (mL)	84.5 ± 59.6	37.0 ± 15.4	0.001
MBC (mL)	225.2 ± 142.7	169.3 ± 133.2	0.001
Bladder wall thickness	6.51 ± 2.59	9.52 ± 3.48	0.001
(mm)			
Hydronephrosis	3/22 (12%)	8/28 (28.6%)	0.138
VUR	3/25 (12%)	12/28 (42.9%)	0.013
Follow-up duration (month)	28.3 ± 19.7	31.6 ± 15.3	0.761
Outcome Good	8 (32%)	24 (85.7%)	<0.0001
Fair	13 (52%)	3 (10.7%)	
Poor	4 (16%)	1 (3.6%)	

FBC: functional bladder capacity, MBC: maximal bladder capacity, VUR: vesicoureteral reflux

Table 4. The changes of bladder parameters in 28 patients after AE

Table 4. The changes of bladder parameters in 20 patients after AL				
	Baseline	Post-AE	P value	
Bladder capacity (mL)	52.7 ± 29.7	327.0 ± 69.4	<0.0001	
Voided volume (mL)	44.2 ± 28.3	250.7 ± 133.4	<0.0001	
Qmax (mL/s)	6.94 ± 4.32	13.7 ± 4.96	<0.0001	
PVR (mL)	8.08 ± 19.2	82.6 ± 91.5	<0.0001	
Compliance (mL/cmH <sub>2</sub> O)	11.2 ± 11.9	$54.0 \pm 43.0$	<0.0001	

Table 5. The changes of symptom and urinary tract dysfunction at baseline and after AE

Paper AE Paper AE Paper AE				
			Reindiks	
Frequency urgency	28/28 (100%)	18/28 (64.3%)		
Bladder pain	23/28 (82.1%)	3/28 (10.7%)	Reuse ketamine	
UUI	17/28 (60.7%)	1/28 (3.6%)	Nighttime UI	
Hydronephrosis	8/28 (28.6%)	0		
UTI	18 (64.3%)	10 (35.7%)	Asymptomatic	
APN	3 (10.7%)	1 (3.6%)		
VUR	12/28 (42.9%)	1 (3.6%)	No implantation	
Dysuria	0	9/28 (32.1%)		
Large PVR	0	2 (7.2%)		
CUR	0	1 (3.6%)	Needing CISC	

AE: augmentation enterocystoplasty, APN: acute pyelonephritis, CISC: clean intermittent self-catheterization, CUR: chronic urinary retention, PVR: post-void residual, UTI: urinary tract infection, VUR: vesicoureteral reflux

#### **Disclosures**

Funding: none Clinical Trial: Yes Public Registry: No RCT: No Subjects: HUMAN Ethics Committee: Research Ethics Committee, Hualien Tzu Chi Hospital, Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical Foundation Helsinki: Yes Informed Consent: Yes