561

Miotla P¹, Romanek-Piva K¹, Bogusiewicz M¹, Markut-Miotla E², Zebrowska M³, Wawrysiuk S³, Mendyk K³, Jakubczak A⁴, Rechberger E³, Wróbel A¹, Rechberger T¹

1. 2nd Department of Gynecology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland, 2. Department of Paediatric Pulmonology and Rheumatology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland, 3. Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland, 4. CM Luxmed, Lublin, Poland

ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE PATTERN IN WOMEN WITH POSITIVE URINE CULTURE.

Hypothesis / aims of study

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is a very common bacterial disease in women. It is reported nearly 1 in 3 women has at least 1 episode of UTI requiring antimicrobial therapy by the age of 24 years [1]. Among common risk factors, beside of sexual activity, general health status, diabetes, pregnancy and history of UTI in past are commonly cited. Variety of clinical manifestations range from simple, uncomplicated cystitis to severe urosepsis. Community-associated urinary tract infection occurs mainly in women population and in self-reported survey almost 10.8% of women declare to have UTI symptoms within last 12 months. Fast initiation of appropriate empirical treatment requires a good knowledge of epidemiological data concerning sensitivity of uropathogens to antibacterial agents. Majority of affected women attends physicians' offices mainly general practioners [2]. The aim of our study was to evaluate total drug resistance in urine samples culture collected from women without reccurent UTIs (primary infection as indicated by medical history) in outpatient clinic.

Study design, materials and methods The retrospective study consisted of 4453 positive urine samples collected from women who underwent urinary analysis in ambulatory care between 2013-2015 due to UTI symptoms. Samples were considered as positive if bacterial culture growth was more than $x10^5$ CFUs/mL. Patients were divided into two study groups: ≤ 50 years old (premenopausal group; n=2748) and >50 vears old (postmenopausal group; n=1705). Statistical analysis was performed with STATISTICA 12.0, using the x2 test, a p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results:

The main pathogens found in urine samples were Escherichia coli (65.5%) followed by Enterococcus faecalis (12.2%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (4.7%) and Proteus mirabilis (4.2%). Data concerning drug resistance (independently of bacterial pattern) in urine culture samples in analysed groups of patients are presented in Table 1.

Group of antimicrobial drugs	Resistance in entire population (%)	Resistance in samples collected from women ≤ 50 years old (%)	Resistance in samples collected from women >50 years old (%)	Difference in resistance: women \leq 50 years old vs. women>50 years old (χ^2)
Ampicillin	45.9	42.4	51.4	χ ² =31.4 <i>p</i> <0.001
Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole	22.3	18.9	27.2	χ ² =35.1 <i>p</i> <0.001
Ciprofloxacin	16.2	10.8	24.2	χ ² =117.7 <i>p</i> <0.001
Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid	15.3	12.3	18.9	χ ² =25.1 <i>p</i> <0.001
Nitrofurantoin	12.5	8.9	18.0	χ ² =65.5 <i>p</i> <0.001
Cefalexin	10.5	8.4	13.5	χ ² =30.8 p<0.001
Cefuroxime	7.8	5.7	10.8	χ ² =31.1 <i>p</i> <0.001

Table 1. Drug resistance pattern in urine culture samples collected from women.

Interpretation of results

Drug resistance to commonly occurring uropathogens is increasing with age. The results of our study suggest that ampicillin should be avoided in the initial treatment of UTI in women without urine culture results. Moreover, even currently, commonly used therapy with ciprofloxacin or combination of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole can be completely ineffective in almost one-fourth of postmenopausal women.

Concluding message

The initial successful treatment of urinary tract infection is very important because it helps to prevent the antibiotic resistant infections in the future. Therefore, if initial empirical treatment of UTI fails, urine culture with antibiogram should be obligatory before initation of secondary treatment.

 References

 1.
 Dis Mon. 2003;49:53-70

 2.
 J Urol. 2005;173:1281-7

<u>Disclosures</u> Funding: None Clinical Trial: No Subjects: HUMAN Ethics Committee: Local institutional ethical committee (Institutional Board Review for the Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland) Helsinki: Yes Informed Consent: Yes