370

Can we predict a diagnosis of detrusor underactivity (DU) or bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) in women by non-invasive parameters?

Mytilekas K¹, Oeconomou A¹, Sokolakis I¹, Kalaitzi M¹, Ioannidou E¹, Ioannidis E¹, Apostolidis A¹

2nd Department of Urology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece



Hypothesis / aims of study

- Detrusor Underactivity (DU) is being increasingly recognised as a cause of voiding symptoms in men and women, but little published data exist, suggesting an increase in incidence with age
- Diagnosis of DU remains a challenge, particularly in women, where the `male' Detrusor Contractility Index (DCI), Qmax and voiding detrusor pressure cut-off values have been used somewhat arbitrarily
- Having previously set up parameters to diagnose and exclude female BOO, we aimed to identify non-invasive clinical parameters which may characterise DU as opposed to BOO in women

Study design, materials and methods

Study population: Women with treatment-resistant LUTS who were submitted to invasive urodynamic investigation and completed the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{IPSS}}$

Clinical criteria:

- Those with a score sum of ≥ 5 in the IPSS questions 1+3+5+6 were considered to suffer voiding symptoms, as in previously published large epidemiological studies (1). Urodynamic criteria:
- BOO was defined by a combination of the Blaivas-Groutz (B-G) nomogram and the Urethral Resistance Association index (URA)
- Index (URA). Those in the severe and moderate BOO categories according to the B-G nomogram and those with mild-BOO and URA>20 were considered to be obstructed. Those with mild-BOO and URA<20 and those with no BOO but with a Bladder Voiding Efficiency (BVE) index of less than 80% comprised the DU group (2). Male indices for obstruction (BOOI) and detrusor
- underactivity (DCI) were also examined in this female population.

Results

- Of 88 consecutive women, 66 (75%) had a
- voiding symptom score \geq 5. 17 were diagnosed as 'BOO' and 18 as 'DU', the remaining diagnosed as 'non-BOO, non-DU'
- Mean age was higher in the DU group (63.7±12.9 vs 53.1±15.8 years, p=0.049) (Table 1).
- From the free uroflow parameters, Qmax and BVE showed a trend for difference (p=0.09), while voided volume (VV) and post-void residual (PVR) were no different (p=0.92 and o.69 respectively) (Table 1, below).

IPSS questions 1+3+5+6 ≥5	n=66	Mean age (years)	Mean Qmax (ml/s)	Mean VV (ml)	Mean PVR (ml)	Mean BVE (%)
воо	25.8% (n=17)	53.05	10.48	189.0	123.8	70.0%
DU	19.7% (n=13)	63.77	13.92	187.0	140.0	56.4%
Abdominal Urination	7.6% (n=5)	61.0	17.48	177.2	159.8	53.9%

Disclosures Funding: Astellas unrestricted educational grant

There were no differences between the 'BOO' and 'DUA'. groups in total IPSS (p=0.904), voiding subscore (p=0.274) or storage subscore (p=0.468) (Table 2, below).

Table 2.	DU	BOO	P value
IPSS total	20.06±6.91	20.29±4.45	0.904
IPSS 2+4+7	8.67±2.70	7.71±2.39	0.274
IPSS 1+3+5+6	11.39±5.39	12.59±4.14	0.468

*Neither the voiding nor the storage symptoms were more prevalent in the 'BOO' or 'DU' groups. OAB index >40% (storage/total IPSS ratio) was somewhat more common in DU women, but not significantly (Table 3, below).

Table 3.	DU	BOO	P value
Voiding Symptoms %	56.9±26.9	62.9±20.7	0.468
Storage Symptoms %	57.7±18.0	51.4±15.4	0.274
OAB index%	44.8±11.1	38.9±12.3	0.150

The DCI was also no different (p=0.938), but the BOOI was significantly higher in the BOO group (p=0.019).

* An increase in the voiding score by 5 points resulted in a more obvious increase in the diagnosis of BOO (25.8% -30% - 35% for sums of 5, 10, 15 respectively) than in diagnosing DUA (27.5% - 27.3% - 30%, respectively).

In a multivariate regression model, a lower Qmax and a higher BVE were found to be more predictive of BOO as opposed to DU (Table 4, below)

Table 4.	OR	95% CI	P value
Qmax	0,801	0,660-0,972	0.025
BVE%	1,065	1,012-1,121	0.016

Conclusions

Voiding symptoms appear to be common among women with treatment-resistant LUTS. In this patient cohort, neither IPSS nor the voiding and storage subscores could identify between BOO and DUA, but rises in voiding subscore were more indicative of BOO, as well as a higher BOOI. Age, Qmax at uroflowmetry, BVE and may be useful in differentiating the two patient groups

References

1. Hall SA, Cinar A, Link CL, et al. Do urological symptoms cluster among women? Results from the Boston Area Community Health Survey. BJU Int. 2008 May; 101(10):1257-66 2. Mytilekas K.V., Oeconomou A., Sokolakis I., et al. Defining voiding problems in women: Bladder outlet obstruction versus detrusor underactivity. Eur Urol Suppl. 2014;13(e688)