HOW TO ASSESS MAXIMUM VOIED VOLUME: COMPARISON OF MAXIMUM VOIED VOLUME OBTAINED DURING UROFLOW TO MAXIMUM VOIED VOLUME OBTAINED BY FREQUENCY VOLUME CHARTS IN MEN

Hypothesis / aims of study
Urinary flow rate (Q) is one the most important yardsticks by which lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) are assessed and it has been well documented that Qmax is dependent upon voided volume. Maximum voided volume (MVV) is another useful metric of LUTS. Most urologists ask their patients to wait to void until they feel a full bladder prior to obtaining Q; so, by proxy, measurement of uroflow voided volume (QVV) has been used as a measure of MVV. The purpose of this study is to compare QVV to MVV obtained by a 24 hour bladder diary (24hMVV).

Study design, materials and methods
This is a retrospective study of men evaluated for lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) who completed a 24h bladder diary and urinary flow rate. When multiple diaries were completed, the earliest was used. The 24hMVV was collected from diary data. A contemporaneous QVV was collected per patient after they were instructed to drink until their bladder felt full. Bladder diaries with no uroflow between 3 months prior to and 1 month after the diary were excluded. Spearman’s correlation was calculated between the QVV and 24hMVV data.

Results
643 patients, 236 women and 407 men ages 20-94 (average 57, SD 17) completed bladder diaries. Of these 407 men, 67 men have uroflow data inputted to date (complete data will be presented at the time of the conference). Data are shown in plot 1. The Spearman’s $r$ was 0.341 ($p = 0.005$). On average, the 24hMVV was 127 mL (SD 168) greater than the QVV.

Plot 1: Scatterplot of bladder diary 24hMVV vs. QVV in males (n=67)

Interpretation of results
There was only a weak to moderate correlation between QVV and 24hMVV in men. For best accuracy, MVV should be assessed by both a frequency volume chart and uroflow.

Concluding message
MVV is best assessed by comparing both uroflow and frequency volume chart data. Relying on only one of these measures can underestimate MVV by as much as 100% or more! On average, the MVV obtained by frequency volume chart was over 100 mL greater than that obtained by uroflow data.
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