

August 22-26, 1999



29th Annual Meeting

Video Demonstration Denver, Colorado USA

Ref. No 516

Abstract Reproduction Form B-1

Author(s):	HN Yoon [*] , JY Hong [*] , YH Choi [*] , SH Back [*]
	Double Spacing
Institution City Country	Department of Urology, College of Medicine and Department of Nursing, College of Nursing Science, Ewha women's university, Seoul, Korea
	Double Spacing
ītle (type in APITAL ETTERS)	THE EFFECT OF PELVIC FLOOR MUSCLE EXERCISES ON GENUINE STRESS INCONTINENCE AMONG KOREAN WOMEN : FOCUSING ON
	ITS EFFECTS ON THE QUALITY OF LIFE

<u>Aims of Study.</u> We aimed to compare the treatment efficacy of the pelvic floor musc exercise and the functional electrical stimulation(FES)-biofeedback method, which being widely used as a conservative treatment method for female urinary incontinenc We also aimed to find out the effects those treatments have on the patients' quality life.

<u>Methods.</u> We randomly selected 90 female incontinence patients who visited o department and evenly divided them into three groups: control group, intensive pel floor muscle(PFM) exercise group, FES-biofeedback group. They were treated for weeks. The subjective changes in the severity of incontinence and discomfort in da and social life were measured using a translated version of the questionnaire by Jacks (Bristol female urinary symptom questionnaire). Objective changes of pelvic mus contraction force were measured by perineometer.

Results. Pre and post treatment maximal pelvic floor muscle contractile pressure(PM pressure) among the three groups(control, intensive PFM exercise, FES-biofeedba showed statistically significant differences(p=0.000). Especially, FES-biofeedback gr significantly increased maximal PMC pressure compared with showed oth groups(p < 0.001). When measured by the questionnaire, pre and post treatment chang in the severity of urinary incontinence and discomfort due to incontinence show significant differences among the three groups, and FES-biofeedback group showed significant decrease(p<0.001). The level of discomfort in daily life, social activity, phys activity, personal relations and discomfort due to urinary symptoms has largely change FES-biofeedback group, in particular, showed a significant decrease in discomfort a treatment.

<u>Conclusions</u>. When PFM exercise and FES-biofeedback were compared in terms of th effects on the patients' quality of life, FES-biofeedback proved more effective than verbal explanation of the PFM exercise or simple PFM exercise.