Mr Roland Morley, Mr Tom Creagh

Author(s)

Kingston Hospital NHS Trust, Kingston, Surrey, UK

Institution, city, country

Title (type in CAPITAL LETTERS, leave one blank line before the text)

VESICA PERCUTANEOUS BLADDER NECK SUSPENSION: A TWO YEAR FOLLOW UP

Aim of Study

Many techniques have been described for the treatment of genuine stress incontinence. Minimally invasive techniques have been described, but long term follow up data is limited for these techniques. Our paper describes a variat on a Stamey technique, the Vesica percutanous bladder neck suspension, with a minimum 18 month follow up.

Method.

Between August 1996 and May 1998 18 patients had a Vesica bone anchor Percutaneous Bladder neck Suspension (PBNS) carried out for stress incontinent using the Boston Microvasive System. All patients had genuine stress incontinence with no proven detrussor instability. Subjective follow up of the results were at 3 months in out patients, and at 2 years by postal questionnal using a modified Bristol Incontinence quality of life questionnaire. The overa outcome being graded as worse, no change, improved and dry. A note was also taken of complications and any further necessary procedures.

Results.

At 3 months 1 patient had been lost to follow up, 7 were dry, 4 improved, 5 had no change in their symptoms and 2 were worse. At mean follow up of 2.4 years (range 18 months - 40 months), 3 patients remain dry, 6 are improved, 7 had no change in symptoms and 2 were worse. Of the patients who had failure at 3 mont 1 underwent a retropubic colposuspension and is now dry. 3 patients required Contigen injections and are now significantly improved. Fourteen patients voice at 72 hours and all patients voided spontaneously by two weeks although one had a residual of greater than 200 mls. Two patients (11%) developed de novo urgency. One patient has bone discomfort.

Conclusion.

In this study only 3 out of 18 (16%) patients remained dry at 2 years. This technique, like many minimally invasive percutaneous techniques, has a poor long term success and should be abandoned in routine use.