

## **COMPARISON OF LAPAROSCOPY ILEOCYSTOPLASTY AND OPEN SURGERY IN A CHRONIC PORCINE MODEL**

### **Aims of Study**

Intestinal segments continue to be the most common material used for bladder augmentation. Recently, the laparoscopic enterocystoplasty was introduced as a minimally invasive technique for bladder reconstructive surgery. However, there has never been a prospective study to compare the two techniques: laparoscopic versus open surgery. The possible advantages of laparoscopic ileocystoplasty are that: it is less painful, there is early recovery of patients and less adhesion formation. Our objective was to evaluate the results of laparoscopic ileocystoplasty and compare to an open surgery.

### **Methods**

Laparoscopic ileocystoplasty was performed on five male pigs (Group I) and open surgery was done on four male pigs (Group II). All animals were euthanized after 4 weeks. Mean operative time, mean enterovesical time, weight gain per week, adhesion formation grade (classification from I to IV, I is less serious and IV is more serious), and bladder functional capacity were evaluated. Comparisons were made using the Snedecor F Test and for adhesion formation grade parameter was used a non-parametric statistics study.

### **Results**

All animals tolerated the procedure; the mean operative time was 225 minutes and the mean ileovesical anastomosis time on Group I was 68 minutes, while it was 113.75 minutes and 35 minutes, respectively in Group II, ( $p < 0.05$ ). Mean weight gain for Group I was 40.35 g per week and for Group II was 26.56 g per week, ( $p < 0.01$ ). Non-parametric analysis demonstrated less serious adhesion formation in Group I (Grades I and II) in relation to Group II (Grades III e IV). Mean neobladder capacity was 372 ml in Group I and 315 ml in Group II ( $p > 0.05$ ), while mean vesical pressure was 27.6 cmH<sub>2</sub>O in Group I and 29.25 cmH<sub>2</sub>O in Group II, ( $p > 0.05$ ).

### **Conclusions**

Laparoscopic ileocystoplasty was safer, feasible to be performed and animals had earlier recoveries. However, the laparoscopic ileocystoplasty took longer than the open surgery.

### **References**

- Gill I.S., Rackley R.R., Meraney A.M., Marcello P.W. and Sung G.T.. Laparoscopic enterocystoplasty. *Urology* 55 (2): 178-181, 2000.
- Meng M.V., Anwar H.P., Elliot SP and Stoller M.L. Pure Laparoscopic Enterocystoplasty. *J Urol* 167: 1386, 2002.
- Pattaras J.G., Moore R.G., Landman J., Clayman R.V., McDougall E.M., Kavoussi L.R. . Incidence of postoperative adhesion formatin after transperitoneal genitourinary laparoscopic surgery. *Urology*, 59(1): 37-41, 2002.