530

Parsons M¹, De Jong P², Radziszewski P³, Dobronski P⁴, Borkowski A⁵, Cervigni M⁶, Cardozo L¹, Farnsworth B⁷, Nordling J⁸, Nissenkorn I⁹

1. Department of Urogynaecology, Kings College Hospital, London, 2. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, 3. Department of Urology, University of Warsaw School of Medicine, Warsaw, 4. Department of Urology, University of Warsaw School of Medicine, Warsaw, 5. Department of Urology, University of Warsaw School of Medicine, Warsaw, 6. Department of Urogynaecology, San Carlo di Nancy Hospital, Rome

, 7. Center for Pelvic Reconstructive Surgery, Sydney Adventist Hospital, Sydney , 8. Department of Urology, Herlev Hospital, Herlev, Copenhagen , 9. Department of Surgery/Urology, Sackler School of Medicine Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv

IMPROVEMENT OF INTERSTITIAL CYSTITIS SYMPTOMS AFTER CHRONIC PELVIC FLOOR ELECTROSTIMULATION: UPDATED RESULTS OF A MULTI-CENTRE STUDY.

Hypothesis / aims of study

Interstitial Cystitis (IC) is a disabling non-malignant condition of the lower urinary tract, the etiology which is uncertain. IC typically presents as a syndrome of pain and lower urinary tract symptoms (frequency, urgency, dysuria, and/or nocturia) and poor quality of life (1,2).

There is no universally effective treatment for IC, but it typically includes a combination of methods such as multimodal behavioural and lifestyle modification, pharmacological treatment, bladder instillations and hydro-distension. However, these treatments may only provide temporary relief of symptoms.

The purpose of our study has been to assess the efficacy of chronic pelvic floor stimulation using a novel active implantable system, the miniaturo[™]-I system (BioControl Medical Ltd., Israel), on IC symptoms (3). This abstract presents the most recent data from the ongoing study.

Study design, materials and methods

The study is an international, multicentre, prospective observational trial. Adult women at six centres, diagnosed with IC for more than 12 months, who have failed to achieve acceptable benefit from conservative management were eligible for the study. After undergoing 6 - 24 hours of test stimulation, patients who derived a reduction in pain and urinary frequency underwent a simple surgical procedure (under epidural or local anesthesia) for chronic implantation of the system.

A pulse generator (size 53 x 47 x 11 mm) was implanted subcutaneously through a 4-5 cm suprapubic incision and secured to the rectus sheath. A bipolar stimulation lead was inserted paraurethrally and connected subcutaneously to the pulse generator. The system was activated via a telemetry device to deliver intermittent pulses through the lead to the pelvic floor with the intensity determined individually according to the patient's sensations.

Treatment success was measured by pain and voiding diary and response to quality of life questionnaires (QoL) including O'Leary-Sant symptoms and problem Indices (O'Leary-Sant Indices), Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ) and Pelvic pain and Urgency/Frequency patient symptoms scale (PUF) at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months following implantation.

Multi-centre research ethics committee approval has been granted for this study.

Results

Out of thirty-four patients, mean age 50.5 years (range 28-80 years) who were enrolled, five failed the test stimulation and so twenty nine women underwent the chronic implantation. Three women subsequently withdrew from the study 3 to 9 months post surgery because they did not feel significant improvement.

Data analysis was available for twenty-three patients who have completed a mean follow up period of 8 months (range 1 to 24 months). The remainder have completed less than one month follow up at the time of submission.

	Baseline	Follow-up	P value
Frequency (/day)	25.0±19.6	13.2±11.2	0.01
Pain (VAS 1-10))	5.8±1.9	2.0±2.3	0.001
O'Leary-Sant score	31.5±3.7	15.5±10.4	0.001
SF-MPQ score	38.2 <u>+</u> 8.8	14.4 <u>+</u> 9.8	0.001
PUF score	24.8 <u>+</u> 1.9	15.6 <u>+</u> 6.7	0.001

Interpretation of results

On an intention to treat analysis, 23 out of 29 implanted patients (79.3%) or 23 out of 34 enrolled patients (67.6%) have demonstrated significant and sustained improvement in all three measured parameters (namely, urinary frequency, pain and QoL). Based on the results obtained in this cohort, pelvic floor electrostimulation improves IC patients' quality of life and symptoms of that condition.

Concluding message

The results presented in this abstract demonstrate a positive effect of pelvic floor electrostimulation on IC symptoms. Larger number of patients and longer follow-up are still required in order to establish this mode of treatment as a viable alternative for treatment of intractable IC.

References

1. Etiology, pathogenesis and diagnosis of interstitial cystitis. Review in Urology 2002; 4 (supp 1):S9-S15

2. Interstitial Cystitis. Curr Opin Urol 1999; 9(4):297-302

3. Pelvic Floor Electrostimulation for Treatment of Symptoms Associated with Interstitial Cystitis. International Urogynaecology Journal Oct 2003 - Proceedings of the 28th Annual Meeting of the International Urogynaecology Association, Buenos Aires, Argentina (Supplement); S62

FUNDING: This study has been funded by BioControl Medical Ltd, Israel.