## **80** Srikrishna S<sup>1</sup>, Robinson D<sup>1</sup>, Cardozo L<sup>1</sup>, Vella M<sup>1</sup> 1. Kings College Hospital

# NEVER THE TWAIN SHALL MEET! ACHIEVEMENT OF PATIENT CENTRED GOALS AND OBJECTIVE OUTCOME MEASURES IN PELVIC FLOOR DYSFUNCTION SURGERY.

### Hypothesis / aims of study

Pelvic organ prolapse and incontinence are common and distressing conditions, known to have a significant impact on Quality of Life (QoL). The lifetime risk of surgery is 11% with a third requiring further surgery. Whilst QoL assessment is integral in outcome assessment, recent studies have focused on achievement of patient selected outcomes .The term EGGS has been coined to improve physician understanding of these outcomes. (E- expectations, G-goal setting, G-goal achievement, S-satisfaction) (1) Patient satisfaction has been directly related to the fulfilment of patient orientated goals. (2) In addition, clinicians and patients may not agree on the definition of a "successful" surgery (3) The aim of this study was to compare objective outcome measures with patient centred outcomes in women undergoing continence and pelvic reconstructive surgery The secondary aim of this study was to compare patient overall satisfaction with achievement of these goals and with that of the operating surgeon.

#### Study design, materials and methods

This was a prospective longitudinal observational study. Women complaining of urogenital symptoms, on the waiting list for pelvic reconstructive or continence surgery were recruited from a tertiary referral centre. Women were preoperatively assessed objectively by clinical examination, (POP-Q scoring system) and videocystourethrography (VCU). They completed a visual analogue score (VAS) of satisfaction with their pre-operative treatment and listed up to 5 personal goals following surgery. Operating surgeons also listed up to 5 goals which they hoped to achieve. Women were reviewed at 6 weeks and 3 months post operatively and examined using the POP-Q system. Each completed a VAS documenting the degree of goal fulfilment. They also completed the Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGII) at each visit. In addition, the operating surgeon scored the extent to which their surgical goals had been met. Patient goals were categorised as being related primarily to symptom relief, return to physical activity, social activities, sexual function and body image. Surgical goals were categorised as being related to anatomical correction, functional improvement, avoidance of new bladder/bowel symptoms, avoidance of complications, long term cure and improvement of Quality of Life. SPSS version 13 (Chicago Illinois) was used for statistical analysis, with paired t- test for POP-Q measurement and Wilcoxon Signed Rank for PGII scores.

#### **Results**

35 women (Mean age 60.2 years, Range 42-78 years) were recruited over 4 months. 24 patients underwent surgery for pelvic organ prolapse (POP), 7 underwent continence surgery and 4 underwent a combined surgery for POP and incontinence. Based on the VAS, patients reported a lower mean satisfaction with their GP than with hospital care. (Table 1)

Objective assessment using POP-Q showed a statistically significant improvement at 6 weeks and 3 months. (p<0.05) (Figure 1) Objective cure of USI on post operative VCU will be available at the 6 months follow up as this study is ongoing.


Women reported a total of 120 goals and surgeons a total of 140 goals. Surgeons reported a high achievement rate in anatomical restoration and functional improvement. The mean goal achievement per patient was 67% and that for the surgeon was 83% at 3 months. There was significantly higher goal achievement in the surgical goals than patient goals. (Table 2) The PGII scores showed a significant improvement from the 6 week (1.68) to the 3 month follow up (1.37) even in those women who felt that one or more of their goals had not been met.

#### Interpretation of results

Surgeons expressed consistently similar goals and achieved significantly higher goal fulfilment than patients. It was unclear whether this was a reflection of stating more "realistic" goals or a reflection of surgical bias. Patient goal achievement for prolapse surgery correlated better with subjective and objective measures of success than continence surgery. Most patients with prolapse achieved the majority of their goals by 12 weeks. Women who underwent continence surgery showed high goal achievement for symptom relief but lower achievement in goals related to body image, confidence, restoration of sexual function and psychosocial goals. Most women stated a significant improvement in PGII. However there was not always a correlation between objective and subjective "success". Some patients continued to express dissatisfaction with their surgical outcome if specific goals were not met even though they admitted to an overall improvement post operatively.

#### Table 1 : Satisfaction with Pre-operative treatment

N= 35	Mean Satisfaction on VAS (%)
GP	79
OPD	80
Pre operative Assessment	75
Post operative Care	84
Hospital Follow up	82
GP Follow up	65





#### Concluding message

This study confirms that patient centred goals for continence and pelvic reconstructive surgery are subjective and personal, often based on lifestyle factors. Achievement of these goals for each woman seems to be the primary reason for undergoing surgery. An objective cure does not predict subjective measures such as patient satisfaction or achievement of goals and patients may be dissatisfied despite apparent high "cure rates". In contrast, surgeons goals are more consistently similar, largely based on objective outcome measures and more significantly achieved. Larger studies are needed to draw more robust conclusions and this preliminary analysis represents part of an ongoing study. The development of reliable and valid methods for assessment of patient centred outcomes would enable better evaluation of effectiveness of treatment.

#### References

- 1. Int Urogynecol J 2005;16:171-173
- 2. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 2004; 191: 201-205.
- 3. BMJ 1997;315: 1493.

 FUNDING:
 NONE

 DISCLOSURES:
 NONE

 CLINICAL TRIAL REGISTRATION:
 Kings College Hospital, Research & Development. 05WH26

 HUMAN SUBJECTS:
 This study was approved by the Kings College Hospital, Ethics Committee

 and followed the Declaration of Helsinki Informed consent was obtained from the patients.