# 246

Matsumoto S<sup>1</sup>, Hanai T<sup>1</sup>, Shimizu N<sup>1</sup>, Sugimoto K<sup>1</sup>, Watanabe E<sup>1</sup>, Uemura H<sup>1</sup> 1. Kinki University School of Medicine

# PDE5 INHIBITOR (VARDENAFIL) PROTECTS RAT BLADDER FROM PARTIAL OUTLET OBSTRUCTION-INDUCED CONTRACTILE DYSFUNCTION.

#### Hypothesis / aims of study

Evidence has been accumulating that PDE5 inhibitors improve lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) in BPH patients or ED patients with BPH<sup>1</sup>). A possible mechanism why PDE5 inhibitors are effective for both ED and LUTS would be the improvement of NO/cGMP pathway in the penis and bladder, respectively, which is impaired by the low blood flow in the pathological conditions such as aging and arteriosclerosis<sup>2</sup>). However, no experimental investigation has been reported yet how PDE5 inhibitors affect bladder functions. In this study, we investigated our hypothesis that a PDE5 inhibitor would increase the bladder blood flow which might result in the protection of bladder function in a 4 week rat BOO model in which decompensatory change of bladder functions is induced.

#### Study design, materials and methods

Bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) was introduced to 12-week old female SD rats. Vardenafil (0.5, 2 or 8 mg/kg/day) was given by drinking water from the day of BOO surgery. Four weeks after the introduction of BOO, vardenafil was washed out by giving water for 24-48 hr, and then bladder was excised and dissected into 4 longitudinal strips for isometric organ bath assay. Contractile profile of bladder strips to electrical field stimulation, carbachol and KCI was investigated in group 1: sham-operated rats given with water, group 2: BOO rats given with water, group 3: BOO rats treated with vardenafil 0.5 mg/kg/day, group 4: BOO rats treated with vardenafil 2 mg/kg/day, and group 5: BOO rats treated with vardenafil 8 mg/kg/day.

#### Results

BOO induced the increase in the bladder weight by 4.4-fold in group 2 compared to group 1. Bladder weights of group 3-5 were not significantly different from that of group 2. Contractile forces in response to electrical field stimulation, carbachol and KCl in group 2 was 30-50% of those in group 1. Vardenafil treatment in group 3-5 dose-dependently improved the reduction in contractile response by BOO compared to group 2 (Contractile forces in group 5 were 40-80% of those in group 1).

#### Interpretation of results

Vardenafil treatment protects rat bladder from partial outlet obstruction-induced contractile dysfunction compared to vehicle treatment. These results were consisted with recently reported results, in which chronic treatment with vardenafil prevented the development of non-voiding contractions in BOO rats<sup>3)</sup>. Further analyses are necessary how vardenafil prevented these changes in BOO rats.

#### Concluding message

These functional analyses showed that vardenafil possesses bladder protective effects in BOO rats and this could be a mechanism why PDE5 inhibitors are effective to LUTS in BPH patients.

## **References**

1) AUA (2006), Abstract #1637.

2) BJU Int (2005) 96;1073-1078.

3) Endocrinology (2007)148;1019-1029.

## FUNDING: NONE

ANIMAL SUBJECTS: This study followed the guidelines for care and use of laboratory animals and was approved by Ethics committee of Kinki University School of Medicine