





Yoo ES1, Kim SW1, Chung J1, Choi SH1, Lee JN1, Kim HT1, Kim BS1, Kim T1, KwonTG1, Chung SK1, Bae JH2

¹Department of Urology, School of Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, South Korea ²Department of Urology, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

INTRODUCTION

- The prevalence of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) increases with age. Detrusor underactivity (DU) is also a more common disease in elderly patients. However, there is no standard diagnostic criteria for DU.
- We applied various diagnostic criteria to evaluate the influence of patient perspectives including satisfaction and the results of Holmium laser enucleation of the prostate (HoLEP) surgery.
- This study would contribute to give an answer to what kind of diagnostic criteria should be adopted for preoperative evaluation of HoLEP and prediction of prognosis in patients with DU.

METHODS

- From May 2012 to February 2017, total 243 patients who underwent HoLEP. We retrospectively reviewed the med-ical records of patient. Finally 93 patients were enrolled in the study.
- Preoperative evaluation included IPSS, TRUS, uroflowm-etry, and urodynamic study. At postoperative 3 months, IPSS, uroflowmetry, complication of operation.

Bladder Contractility Index (BCI) pdetQmax + 5 Qmax < 100

Abrams-Griffith (AG) number criteria BOO Index < 20 & Qmax < 12ml/s
pdetQmax 30 criteria pdetQmax < 30cmH20 & Qmax < 10ml/s

Bladder voiding efficiency (BVE) criteria BCI < 100 & AG number < 20 & BVE% < 90

BOO index : Bladder outlet obstruction index = pdetQmax -2Qmax

RESULTS

- Of 93 patients, The prevalence of DU based on each criteria was 31.2, 12.9, 11.8, 9.7%. (Bladder contractility index(BCI), Abrams-Griffith (AG) number criteria, maximal detrusor pressure at maximal flow rate (pdetQmax) < 30 criteria, bladder voiding efficiency (BVE) < 90% criteria, respectively)
- Univariate analysis revealed that there was no difference in preoperative factors between patients included in each
 of the four criteria and each control group. (Table 1)
- Otherwise, there were showed significant differences in BVE<90% criteria for IPSS-voiding score and in AG number criteria for incontinence compared to the control group. (Table 2)

Table 1.Patients demographics and preoperative characteristics

	BCI criteria		Р	AG number criteria		Р	pdetQmax criteria		Р	BVE criteria		Р
	Control	DU	value	Control	DU	- value	Control	DU	- value	Control	DU	– value
	(n=64)	(n=29)		(n=81)	(n=12)		(n=82)	(n=11)		(n=84)	(n=9)	
Age(y)	69.30±6.82	69.28±8.22	0.990	69.65±7.12	66.83±7.90	0.210	69.43±7.16	68.27±7.93	0.622	69.38±7.19	68.44±8.02	0.714
BMI(kg/m²)	24.71±2.83	25.81±3.45	0.109	24.77±2.70	26.99±4.55	0.018	24.71 ± 2.70	27.62±4.35	0.003	24.98±3.08	25.77±2.94	0.464
HTN(n, %)			0.34			0.006			0.016			0.089
Yes	15(23.4)	13(44.8)		20(24.7)	8(66.7)		21(25.6)	7(63.6)		23(27.4)	5(55.6)	
No	49(76.6)	16(55.2)		61(75.3)	4(33.3)		61(74.4)	4(36.4)		61(72.6)	4(44.4)	
DM(n, %)			0.329			0.040			0.112			0.55
Yes	13(20.3)	4(13.8)		12(14.8)	5(41.7)		13(15.9)	4(36.4)		13(15.5)	4(44.4)	
No	51(79.7)	25(86.2)		69(85.2)	7(58.3)		69(84.1)	7(63.6)		71(84.5)	5(55.6)	
CVA(n, %)			0.499			0.015			0.105			0.406
Yes	3(4.7)	2(6.9)		2(2.5)	3(25.0)		3(3.7)	2(18.2)		4(4.8)	1(11.1)	
No	61(95.3)	27(93.1)		79(97.5)	9(75.0)		79(96.3)	9(81.8)		80(95.2)	8(88.9)	
Pre-IPSS-V	12.59±5.66	14.38±4.86	0.145	13.32±5.53	12.00±5.08	0.437	13.41 ± 5.48	11.18±5.17	0.205	13.44±5.50	10.44±4.50	0.118
Pre-IPSS-S	8.67±3.49	9.97±4.29	0.127	8.94±3.79	10.00±3.77	0.367	8.88±3.78	10.55±3.59	0.171	8.90±3.72	10.67±4.15	0.185
Pre-IPSS-Q	4.14±1.30	4.59±1.02	0.105	4.23±1.26	4.58±1.00	0.361	4.24±1.25	4.55±1.04	0.447	4.26±1.25	4.44±1.01	0.674
Pre-IPSS-T	21.27±8.27	24.34±7.48	0.090	22.26±8.33	22.00±6.84	0.918	22.29±8.28	21.73±7.10	0.830	22.34±8.22	21.11±7.46	0.667
Pre-Qmax	8.44±4.57	6.07±1.94	0.009	7.80±4.28	7.00±2.37	0.528	7.89±4.26	6.27±2.01	0.219	7.79±4.25	6.89±1.83	0.534
Pre-VV	173.20±	141.69±	0.184	165.57±	148.58±	0.606	166.54±	139.82±	0.433	165.73±	141.44±	0.515
	113.47	83.22		109.72	73.09		109.73	65.24		109.16	62.37	
Pre-PVR	98.84±	102.34±	0.875	99.78±97.70	101.00±	0.968	98.73±97.82	108.91±	0.750	96.33±97.60	133.56±	0.285
	101.01	95.28			110.16			110.07			109.13	

BCI: Bladder contractility index, AG: Abrams-Griffith, BVE: bladder voiding efficiency, DU: Detrusor underactivity, BMI: Body mass index, HTN: Hypertension, DM: Diabetes mellitus, CVA: Cerebrovascular accident, IPSS-V: International Prostate Symptom Score voiding sub-score, IPSS-S: IPSS storage sub-score, IPSS-Q: IPSS quality of life sub-score, IPSS-T: IPSS total score, VV: voiding volume, PVR: post-void residual volume

Table 2.Comparison of post-operative variables

	BCI criteria		P	AG number criteria		P	pdetQmax criteria		P	BVE criteria		P
	Control (n=64)	DU (n=29)	value	Control (n=81)	DU (n=12)	value	Control (n=82)	DU (n=11)	value	Control (n=84)	DU (n=9)	value
ΔIPSS-V	9.72±6.61	9.86±5.99	0.921	10.22±6.26	6.67±6.69	0.072	10.17±6.30	6.73±6.57	0.093	10.27±6.20	5.00±6.54	0.018
ΔIPSS-S	3.77±4.25	4.24±4.19	0.617	3.89±4.27	1.08±3.99	0.882	3.80±4.26	4.73±4.00	0.499	3.92±4.22	3.89±4.49	0.985
ΔIPSS-Q	2.14±1.58	2.41 ± 1.48	0.433	2.23±1.54	2.17±1.64	0.888	2.22±1.54	2.27±1.68	0.915	2.25±1.55	2.00 ± 1.58	0.648
ΔIPSS-T	13.08±9.06	14.10±8.62	0.609	13.79±8.70	10.75±10.06	0.271	13.66±8.76	11.45±10.03	0.443	13.88±8.62	8.89 ± 10.60	0.110
Qmax Imp (%)			0.484			0.421			0.360			0.238
Yes	51(79.7)	24(82.8)		66(81.5)	9(75.0)		67(81.7)	8(72.7)		69(82.1)	6(66.7)	
No	13(20.3)	5(17.2)		15(18.5)	3(25.0)		15(18.3)	3(17.3)		15(17.9)	3(33.3)	
Post-PVR	18.2±34.7	13.5±27.8	0.524	17.6±34.0	10.6±21.5	0.489	17.4±33.8	11.6±22.3	0.579	17.1±33.5	12.9±24.5	0.714

BCI :Bladder contractility index, AG: Abrams-Griffith, BVE: bladder voiding efficiency, DU: Detrusor underactivity, \(\Delta\) Interval change between preoperative and post-operative International Prostate Symptom Score. IPSS, IPSS voiding sub-score, IPSS-S: IPSS storage sub-score, IPSS-Q: IPSS quality of life sub-score, IPSS-T: IPSS total score, Imp: Improvement. Post-PVR: post operative post-void residual volume

CONCLUSIONS

- Our results seem that BVE<90% criteria were superior to other criteria in distinguishing the subjective outcome after HoLEP.
- Also, AG number criteria will help predict incontinence incidence. It will be helpful to explain the postoperative prognosis to the patient before operation, using the proper diagnosis criteria.