EFFECTS OF RAZ -4 –DEFECT REPAIR AS SURGICAL TREATMENT OF CYSTOCELE AND/OR URINARY STRESS INCONTINENCE; LONG TERM RESULTS OF A LARGE COHORT STUDY.

Hypothesis / aims of study
Cystocele repair – especially anterior colporrhaphy- with native tissues is known to have a high recurrence rate. Despite this knowledge anterior colporrhaphy is still being the most frequent treatment for anterior vaginal wall prolaps. Currently mesh implantation is being studied in an attempt to reduce the number of recurrences without compromising the functional outcome. In the USA and also in some centres the 4 defect repair as proposed by S. Raz has been performed for many years. In this repair native tissue, permanent sutures and a polyglactin mesh are used to repair 4 defects: urethral hypermobility, weakness of the cardinal and sacro-uterine ligaments, lateral support and the central cystocele.

Since many urologists and gynaecologists confuse this 4-defect-repair with the Raz-Pereyra colposuspension, this anterior wall repair has encountered a lot of resistance in the scientific community.

This retrospective study was performed to assess the functional outcome of the Raz-4-defect repair in a cohort of 443 consecutive patients.

Study design, materials and methods
In this retrospective study we included 443 patients undergoing Raz 4-defect-repair between 1999 and 2005. All procedures were performed as described by the group of Raz.1

Patient characteristics, i.e. age, parity, body mass index, previous gynaecologic surgery, and data about the surgical technique, concomitant surgical procedures, operating time, estimated blood loss, peri- and postoperative complications were collected from the hospital record files, and registered on standardised clinical record forms. We also registered whether patients had returned because of persistent or recurrent pelvic floor dysfunction and whether additional treatment had been given.

All 453 patients were send a validated questionnaire consisting of the following validated questionnaires: [1] urogenital distress inventory (UDI) to measure presence and experienced bother of prolapse and micturition symptoms, [2] defecation distress inventory (DDI) to measure presence and experienced bother of defecation symptoms, [3] impact incontinence questionnaire (IIQ) to measure experienced limitations due to pelvic floor symptoms and [4] short form 36 to measure generic quality of life. Five standardized questions to measure sexual wellbeing were added to this questionnaire.

Descriptive analysis of the data was performed using SPSS 14.0.

Results
Of the 443 patients, 8 patients had deceased, 6 patients relocated to an unknown address, 20 patients had difficulties to understand the questionnaire due to age and 4 patients could not answer the questions due to insufficient understanding of the language. Of the remaining 405 patients, 229 (response rate 52%) responded who were included in the further analysis.

The mean follow-up time was 47 months (range 10 – 93 months). The mean age of the responding patients was 70 years (range 29 to 93 years) when responding the questionnaire. Thirty-six (16%) patients had undergone previous prolapse surgery. A stage 3 or 4 cystocele was present in 161 (71%) of the patients before surgery. The most predominant symptom was feeling of prolapse in 175 (76%), stress incontinence in 134 (33%) and a variety other symptoms in 21 (9%).

In patients only undergoing Raz suspension, the average surgery time was 70 minutes (sd 10 minutes), average amount of blood loss 104 mL (sd 99 mL) and hospital stay 8 days (sd 2.4). Concomitant vaginal hysterectomy was performed in 40 (21%) patients. Among 20 patients, 17 (85%) patients had stage 3 or 4 cystocele when responding the questionnaire.

Complications during surgery occurred in 7 (3%) patients (1 bladder lesion, 3 bleedings > 500 mL), 1 ischemic heart disease, 1 ventricular fibrillation) and postoperative in 79 (34%) patients mainly consisting of bladder retention which occurred in 53 (23%) of the patients. Two (1%) required re-intervention due to bleeding, other postoperative complications where less serious.

At the first follow-up visit six weeks after surgery none of the patients had a stage 2 or more anterior or middle compartment prolapse. Four (2%) patients had a stage 2 or more posterior compartment prolapse. Prolapse symptoms were reported by 6 (3%) patients and stress incontinence by 21 (12%) patients.

During the follow-up period 76 (33%) patients visited the hospital because of pelvic floor dysfunction. Forty-one (18%) patients underwent repeated surgery. Laparoscopic sacro-colpexy was performed in 24 (10%), laparoscopic rectopexy in 5 (2%), TVT in 7 (3%) and revision of the initial Raz procedure in 5 (2%).

Pelvic floor symptoms as measured by UDI and DDI after surgery can be found in the Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>missing symptom present</th>
<th>bothered by symptom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prolapse symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling or prolapse</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolapse seen</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micturition symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress incontinence</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urge incontinence</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>89 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>92 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>89 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty emptying bladder</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of incomplete evacuation</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>88 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defecation symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool frequency &lt; 3 times a week</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staining &gt; 25% of time</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>89 (43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Incorrect sensation of urge & 19 & 85 & (40) & 33 & (16) \\
Manual evacuation & 22 & 28 & (14) & 22 & (11) \\
Digital evacuation & 18 & 56 & (27) & 32 & (15) \\
Fecal incontinence for liquid stool & 17 & 44 & (21) & 14 & (7) \\
Fecal incontinence for solid stool & 17 & 16 & (8) & 10 & (5) \\

Data are mean (standard deviation)

Interpretation of results
The UDI and DDI questionnaires show that at long term follow-up one out of 10 patients is bothered by prolapse symptoms. About 40% reports urge incontinence of which half reports to be bothered by this symptom. The same accounts for urgency and frequency. One out of 4 patients is bothered by frequent straining for stool and 15% is bothered by needing digital evacuation to empty the bowel.

Concluding message
This large study with long term follow-up shows that the Raz four-defect repair is, in experienced hands, a safe surgical procedure with an excellent short term outcome. The drawback of this technique however is the risk on rectocele and or enterocele formation (12%) requiring re-intervention and the risk on post-operative overactive bladder symptoms and defecation symptoms.
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