Peters K<sup>1</sup>, MacDiarmid S<sup>2</sup>, Wooldridge L<sup>3</sup>, Leong F C<sup>4</sup>, Shobeiri S A<sup>5</sup>, Rovner E<sup>6</sup>, Siegel S<sup>7</sup>, Tate S<sup>8</sup>, Faegins B<sup>9</sup> **1.** William Beaumont Hospital, **2.** Alliance Urology Specialists, **3.** Mercy Health Partners, **4.** St. Louis University, **5.** University of Oklahoma, **6.** Medical University of South Carolina, **7.** Metro Urology, **8.** University of Louisville, **9.** Dallas Center for Pelvic Medicine

# 6 AND 12 MONTH RESULTS FROM ORBIT TRIAL COMPARING PERCUTANEOUS TIBIAL NERVE STIMULATION (PTNS) VS. EXTENDED-RELEASE TOLTERODINE

#### Hypothesis / aims of study

Neuromodulation therapy uses electrical stimulation to target specific nerves in the sacral plexus that control bladder function. Urgent® PC (Uroplasty, Minnetonka, MN) percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) targets the sacral plexus from an accessible, minimally invasive entry point into the nervous system – the posterior tibial nerve. Multiple short-term studies have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of this office-based, minimally invasive procedure in subjects with OAB. (1,2)

The Overactive Bladder Innovative Therapy (OrBIT) Trial is a randomized, multi-center, controlled study that compared the effectiveness of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) to extended-release tolterodine. 12-week results demonstrated comparable effectiveness.(3)The purpose of this extended study was to demonstrate the sustained effectiveness of PTNS therapy at 6 and 12 months in a group of subjects with improved OAB symptoms following an initial treatment regimen of 12 weekly PTNS sessions.

# Study design, materials and methods

Subjects enrolled in the OrBIT study PTNS treatment arm who showed OAB symptom improvement with 12 weeks of PTNS therapy were offered on-going PTNS for 12 months at treatment intervals tapered to maintain symptom relief for the individual subject. Data from voiding diaries and Overactive Bladder Questionnaires (OAB-q) were completed at baseline, 12 weeks, and at 6 and 12 months; subject and investigator Global Response Assessments (GRA) were completed at 12 weeks, 6 and 12 months to assess improvement in 24-hour voiding frequency, urinary urge incontinence (UUI) episodes, voids causing waking, volume voided, urgency episodes, and quality of life indices.

#### Results

After 12 weeks of PTNS therapy thirty-five subjects who had improved urinary symptoms qualified for on-going therapy. Thirty and twenty-five subjects completed voiding diaries at 6 and 12 months, respectively. At 12 months follow-up, compared to baseline, clinically significant objective improvements in urinary parameters were sustained: 24-hour frequency decreased by a mean of 2.8 voids/day (p<0.001), UUI episodes decreased by a mean of 1.6 incontinence episodes/day (p<0.001), nighttime voids decreased by a mean of 0.8 voids causing waking/night (p=0.01), and improvement in voided volume improved by a mean of 39 cc (p=0.04) Table 1. The change from baseline on the OAB-q at 6 and 12 months showed statistically significant improvement from baseline in both symptom severity and overall quality of life (p<0.001). (Table 2). Compared to results from baseline to 12 weeks, subjects' GRAs showed sustained improvement at 6 and 12 months, 93.8% and 96% respectively; investigator GRAs were similar, 96.9% and 96%. There were no serious adverse events or device malfunctions. Subjects received a mean of 11.4 +/- 5.6 additional treatments over an average of 249 days; mean of 19.6 days between treatments.

Table 1 Voiding Parameter Improvements at 6 and 12 months of PTNS Therapy

	Voids/Day Mean ± SD	Nocturia Mean ± SD	Urge Incont Mean ± SD	Void Vol (cc) Mean ± SD
Change from Baseline at 6* Months N=30	-3.2±3.7	-1.2±1.5	-1.6±1.7	31±72
Mean % change from Baseline	22.7	35.1	63.6	36
Change from Baseline at 12* Months N=25	-2.8±3.7	-0.8±1.5	-1.6±2.0	39±92
Mean % change from Baseline	19.3	12.4	77.9	54.7

<sup>\*</sup>Changes from baseline at 6 and 12 months significant with p<0.05 for all variables

Table 2: Changes from Baseline in Symptom Severity and HRQL

	6 Months		12 Months	
		Mean Change from BL		Mean Change from BL
Parameter	N	(95% CL)	N	(95% CL)
Symptom Severity	32	-28.7 (-36.7, -20.6) <sup>1</sup>	25	-38.8 (-47.7, -29.9) <sup>*</sup>
HRQL	32	31.0 (24.3, 37.7) <sup>1</sup>	25	34.1 (24.6, 43.6) <sup>*</sup>

\*All changes p<0.001

### Interpretation of results

There is limited data demonstrating the 12 month efficacy of PTNS in patients with OAB. PTNS provides a therapeutic option that is effective, minimally invasive, improves quality of life and provides sustained relief of symptoms.

## Concluding message

The response to PTNS therapy achieved following 12 weeks of treatment demonstrates excellent durability through 12 months of follow-up. This therapy can be easily incorporated into the algorithm of care for OAB subjects and easily administered in the physician's office. The therapeutic effect is demonstrated in the early phase of treatment and is sustained when prolonged therapy is offered at tapering intervals.

# References

- 1. Govier FE, Litwiller S, Nitti V, Kreder Jr KJ, Rosenblatt P: Percutaneous afferent neuromodulation for the refractory overactive bladder: results of a multicenter study. J Urol 2001; 165: 1193
- 2. Vandoninck V, van Balken MR, Finazzi-Agro E, Petta F et al: Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of overactive bladder: urodynamic data. Neurol Urodyn 2003; 22: 227-232
- 3. Peters KM, MacDiarmid SA, Wooldridge LS, Leon FC, Shobeiri SA, Rovner ES et al Randomized multicenter study comparing percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation with pharmaceutical therapy for the treatment of overactive bladder. Presented at the Late Breaking Science Forum, American Urological Association Annual Meeting, Orlando, FL, May 20, 2008

Specify source of funding or grant	Study was funded by Uroplasty, Inc.
Is this a clinical trial?	Yes
Is this study registered in a public clinical trials registry?	Yes
Specify Name of Public Registry, Registration Number	Clinical Trials.gov
	NCT 00448175
What were the subjects in the study?	HUMAN
Was this study approved by an ethics committee?	Yes
Specify Name of Ethics Committee	Western IRB and individual investigator site IRBs
Was the Declaration of Helsinki followed?	Yes
Was informed consent obtained from the patients?	Yes