LONG TERM GOAL ACHIEVEMENT FOLLOWING PELVIC FLOOR SURGERY

Hypothesis / aims of study

Pelvic organ prolapse(POP) and incontinence are common and distressing conditions with a significant impact on Quality of Life (QoL). Whilst QoL assessment is integral in outcome assessment, recent studies have focused on achievement of patient selected outcomes .The term EGGS has been coined to improve physician understanding of these outcomes. (E- expectations, G-goal setting, G-goal achievement, S-satisfaction) (1) Patient satisfaction has been directly related to the fulfilment of patient orientated goals. (2) In addition, clinicians and patients may not agree on the definition of a "successful" surgery (3) The aim of this study was to compare objective outcomes in women undergoing continence and prolapse surgery The secondary aim of this study was to compare patient overall satisfaction with achievement of these goals and with that of the surgeon.

Study design, materials and methods

This was a prospective longitudinal observational study. Women on the waiting list for prolapse or continence surgery were recruited from a tertiary centre. Women were pre- operatively assessed objectively by clinical examination, (POP-Q scoring system) and videocystourethrography (VCU). They completed a visual analogue score (VAS) of satisfaction with their pre-operative treatment and listed up to 5 personal goals following surgery. Operating surgeons also listed up to 5 goals which they hoped to achieve. Women were reviewed at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6months, 1 year and 2 years post operatively and examined using the POP-Q system. Each completed a VAS documenting the degree of goal fulfilment. They also completed the Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGII) at each visit. In addition, surgeons scored the extent to which their surgical goals had been met. Patient goals were categorised as being related primarily to symptom relief, return to physical activity, social activities, sexual function and body image. Surgical goals were categorised as being related to anatomical correction, functional improvement, avoidance of new bladder/bowel symptoms, long term cure and improvement of QoL. SPSS [V. 14] was used for statistical analysis, with paired t- test for POP-Q measurement and Wilcoxon Signed Rank for PGII scores.

Results

112 women (Mean age 64.2 years, Range 42-85 years) were followed up over 2 years. 79 patients underwent surgery for POP, 19 underwent continence surgery and 12 underwent a combined surgery Based on the VAS, patients reported a lower mean satisfaction with their GP (75%) than with hospital care (86%).

Objective assessment using POP-Q showed a statistically significant improvement at 6 weeks which was maintained at the 2 year review. (p<0.05) (Figure 1) Objective cure of urodynamic stress incontinence on post operative VCU at 6 months follow up was 88.8%.

Women reported a total of 437 goals and surgeons a total of 508 goals. Surgeons reported a high achievement rate in anatomical restoration and functional improvement. The mean goal achievement at 2 years was 90% for both the surgeons and patients. (Table 1, 2) Although there had been significantly higher goal achievement in the surgical goals than patient goals at 1 year this difference disappeared by the 2 year review. The PGII scores showed a significant improvement from the 6 week (1.63) to the 2 year follow up (1.51) even in those women who felt that one or more of their goals had not been met.

Interpretation of results

Surgeons expressed consistently similar goals and achieved significantly higher goal fulfilment than patients at 1 year review. It was unclear whether this was a reflection of stating more "realistic" goals or a reflection of surgical bias. However, this difference tailed off by the final 2 year review. This plateau in goal achievement may be a reflection of the realistic time period required for patients to achieve their goals. Equally, it may be a more realistic expression of goal achievement by surgeons after the initial euphoria of achieving all goals at 1 year. Patient goal achievement for prolapse surgery compared better with subjective and objective measures of success than continence surgery. Most patients with prolapse achieved the majority of their goals by 12 weeks. Women who underwent continence surgery showed high goal achievement for symptom relief but lower achievement in goals related to body image, confidence, restoration of sexual function and psychosocial goals Most women stated a significant improvement in PGII. However there was not always a correlation between objective and subjective "success". Some patients continued to express dissatisfaction with their surgical outcome if specific goals were not met even though they admitted to an overall improvement post operatively.

Figure 1: POP-Q scores



Table 1: Patient goal achievement in prolapse and incontinence at 2 year

rable 1. Fatient goal admetement in prolapse and meditimence at 2 year				
Prolapse goals	Achieved	Incontinence goals	Achieved	
Physical activity (49)	99.5%	Not need pads(21)	94.1%	
Social activity (21)	96.3%	Not leak (13)	91.5%	
Rid of bulge (56)	92.1%	Physical activity (36)	86.4%	
Rid of discomfort (35)	91.1%	Not smell (10)	83.1%	
Body image (7)	86.8%	Social activity (29)	76.8%	
Faecal problems (19)	85.2%	Sleep at night (7)	74.1%	
Sexual function (31)	67.9%	Sexual function(14)	69.5%	

Table 2: Surgeon's goal achievement in prolapse and incontinence at 1 year

Surgeon Goal	Achieved
Functional improvement in prolapse (89)	93.1%
Anatomical restoration (70)	91.6%
Functional improvement in incontinence(32)	92.4%
Improve QoL (67)	89.5%
Long term cure (9)	89.3%
Avoid new LUTS/ bowel symptoms (124)	88.7%
Improve sexual function (26)	82.7%

Concluding message

This study confirms that patient centred goals for continence and prolapse surgery are subjective and personal, often based on lifestyle factors. Achievement of these goals for each woman seems to be the primary reason for undergoing surgery. An objective cure does not predict subjective measures such as patient satisfaction or achievement of goals and patients may be dissatisfied despite apparent high "cure rates". In contrast, surgeons goals are more consistently similar, largely based on objective outcome measures and more significantly achieved, although this difference tails off at the 2 year review. The development of reliable and valid methods for assessment of patient centred outcomes would enable better evaluation of effectiveness of treatment.

References

- 1. Int Urogynecol J (2005)16;171-173
- 2. Am J Obstet Gynaecol (2004) 191; 201-205
- 3. BMJ (1997)315; 1493

Specify source of funding or grant	No funding or grant was used for this study	
Is this a clinical trial?	Yes	
Is this study registered in a public clinical trials registry?	No	
What were the subjects in the study?	HUMAN	
Was this study approved by an ethics committee?	Yes	
Specify Name of Ethics Committee	Kings College Hospital Ethics Committee	
Was the Declaration of Helsinki followed?	Yes	
Was informed consent obtained from the patients?	Yes	