782

Sanses T¹, Brubaker L², Kraus S³, Lowder J⁴, Lemack G⁵, Norton P⁶, Tennstedt S⁷, Chai T⁸

1. University of Maryland Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2. Loyola University Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 3. Univ of Texas San Antonio Department of Urology, 4. Univ of Pittsburgh Magee Women's Hosp. Dept. of Ob/Gyn, 5. Univ of Texas Southwestern Department of Urology, 6. Univ of Utah Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 7. New England Research Institutes, 8. University of Maryland, Division of Urology

PREOPERATIVE URINARY HESITANCY IS ASSOCIATED WITH SURGICAL FAILURE AND POSTOPERATIVE VOIDING DYSFUNCTION FOLLOWING BURCH COLPOSUSPENSION OR PUBOVAGINAL RECTUS FASCIAL SLING SURGERY

<u>Purpose</u>: The aims of this study were to assess whether preoperative subjective voiding symptoms are associated with postoperative voiding dysfunction and/or postoperative surgical failure in women who underwent treatment of stress urinary incontinence (SUI) with Burch colposuspension (BC) or pubovaginal sling (PVS).

<u>Methods</u>: Data were obtained from subjects in a randomized trial comparing efficacy of PVS to BC. The methods, primary outcomes and definitions for the surgical outcomes of "overall" and "stress-specific" failures have been previously reported [1, 2]. In addition to the Urogenital Distress Inventory (UDI), preoperative voiding symptoms were assessed by subjects' categorical responses (yes or no) to physical accommodations to facilitate voiding (straining, bending, leaning, standing, pressing, pushing, and doing something else to urinate), and characteristics of urinary stream (steady, slow, spurting, hesitating, dribbling, or other descriptor of urinary stream). Voiding dysfunction was defined as either the need for surgical revision to improve voiding postoperatively or the need for catheterization due to voiding difficulties at any time after 6 weeks following surgery. Logistic regression analysis controlling for treatment group and site was used to evaluate the associations between treatment failure and voiding function and UDI.

<u>Results</u>: The prevalences of the preoperative physical accommodations and characteristics of urinary stream are listed in the Table. The percentages listed in the Table represented those subjects who answered "yes" to the question regardless of how they answered other questions. Urinary hesitancy was the only preoperative symptom associated with overall treatment failure [OR 1.57 (95% CI 1.04-2.38, p=0.03)], stress-specific failure [OR 1.67 (95% CI 1.14-2.45, p=0.009)] and postoperative voiding dysfunction [OR 2.22 (95% CI 1.19-4.16, p=0.01)]. While there was no significant association between preoperative UDI obstructive subscore and postoperative voiding dysfunction [OR 1.03 (95% CI 0.89-1.18, p=0.71)], an increase of 10 points on the preoperative UDI-obstructive subscore was associated with stress specific failure [OR 1.21 (95% CI 1.10-1.32, p<0.0001)] and overall failure [OR 1.10 (95% CI 1.00-1.20, p=0.049).

	No. Who Answered	
Preoperative voiding symptoms	"Yes"	% (n=651)
Dribbling Stream	504	77.4
Hesitating Stream	214	32.9
Spurting Stream	208	32.0
Slow Stream	207	31.8
Bending to Urinate	179	27.5
Straining to Urinate	107	16.4
Steady Stream (No)	106	16.3
Pressing to Urinate	81	12.4
Leaning to Urinate	54	8.3
Other descriptions of Stream	49	7.5
Pushing to Urinate	41	6.3
Doing something else to Urinate	29	4.5
Standing to Urinate	22	3.4

Interpretation of results

Preoperative symptom of urinary hesitancy may be a useful predictor of post-operative outcomes. The prevalence of this complaint is moderate (33%) which may make it more useful as a predictor of surgical outcomes than a preoperative voiding complaint should that is very common (like dribbling at 78%) or very uncommon (like pushing to urinate at 6%) The findings of this secondary analysis suggest that further studies into association of urinary hesitancy and postoperative outcomes may be a fruitful area for further study.

Concluding message

Patients who report urinary hesitancy or obstructive voiding symptoms prior to a Burch colposuspension or fascial sling may benefit from counselling regarding an increased risk of post-operative voiding dysfunction and/or failure of the continence surgery.

Topic

Surgical treatment of stress urinary incontinence LUTS in women

Key words

postoperative voiding dysfunction, stress urinary incontinence, Burch, fascial pubovaginal sling

References

- 1. Albo ME, Richter HE, Brubaker L, et al. Burch Colposuspension versus Fascial Sling to Reduce Urinary Stress Incontinence. Engl J Med 2007;356:2143-55
- Tennstedt S. Design of the Stress Incontinence Surgical Treatment Efficacy Trial (SISTEr). Urology 2005;66:1213-7

Specify source of funding or grant	NIH/NIDDK DK58225, U01 DK58229, U01DK58234, U01 DK58231, U01 DK60379, U01 DK60380, U01 DK60393, U01 DK60395, U01 DK60397 and U01 DK60401; and NICHD and Office of Research in Women's Health NIH	
Is this a clinical trial?	Yes	
Is this study registered in a public clinical trials registry?	Yes	
Specify Name of Public Registry, Registration Number	www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT00064662)	
What were the subjects in the study?	HUMAN	
Was this study approved by an ethics committee?	Yes	
Specify Name of Ethics Committee	Univ of MD Baltimore, UTSA, UTSW, Beamont, Univ of Alabama Birmingham, UCSD, UPitt, Univ of Utah, Loyola Univ, NERI	
Was the Declaration of Helsinki followed?	Yes	
Was informed consent obtained from the patients?	Yes	