Abstract
It is essential that new flowmeters have been thoroughly tested before they are used to ensure they are accurate and usable.

We developed a protocol to test the Minze Hospiflow flowmeter, seen in image 1, [1] to assess its accuracy, usability, filtering and operation. We describe this protocol in order to enable standardised testing by prospective users of any new flowmeter in the future. The tests used are summarised in Table 1. The testing processes detailed here allow users to assess a new flowmeter in several key areas, enabling them to better critically appraise a new flow meter and understand limitations users need to be aware of. We suggest these can be used as a set of guidelines to test any new flow meter.

Methods and Materials
The flow meter was tested in four key areas, accuracy, usability, filtering and operation. The methods used to test these areas included the below:
- A constant flow bottle
- A water column with an outlet at the base (this gives a region of constant decay of flow rate)
- Reproducing common artefacts (knocking the flow meter, wagging of the flow and removing the jug from the flow meter)
- Use in a flow clinic
- Feedback questionnaires (areas assessed included the setting up and use of the flow meter, data entry, analysis and reporting)

These tests are summarised in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area to test</th>
<th>Test performed</th>
<th>Reasons for test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td>Use in a flow clinic</td>
<td>Operational assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>User questionnaires</td>
<td>Operational assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Constant flow bottle</td>
<td>Test for artefacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flow column with base outlet</td>
<td>Assess linearity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtering</td>
<td>Reproduction of common flow artefacts</td>
<td>Assessing recording response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start and stop of flow</td>
<td>Check of volume and maximum flow recorded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Test protocol used to test a uroflowmeter.

Results
The above tests showed that the new flow meter has values for maximum flow rate and voided volume within 0ml/s and 2ml respectively. These are within the stated accuracy and ICS recommendations (±0.25ml/s maximum flow rate and ≤5ml voided volume).

It also showed an appropriate response to step changes, well within ICS recommendations. The flow column test showed some deviations from a smooth decline of flow, so further checks of the linearity test are required. The differences in the traces in Figure 1 show that filtering needs testing with artefacts to ensure ICS guidelines are met [4].

Conclusions
The testing processes details allow users to assess new flowmeters in several key areas, enabling better critical appraisal and understanding limitations. We suggest these can be used as a set of protocols to test any new flow meter.
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