Effect of changes in upper body angle on the contraction of pelvic floor muscles
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Introduction
The mechanism of pelvic floor muscles training is to improve the strength and timing of contraction of the pelvic floor muscles during abdominal pressure by strengthening the pelvic floor muscles. However, when the pelvic floor muscles are consciously trying to contract, they often fail to do so spontaneously, even in healthy individuals.

When training the pelvic floor muscles, it is recommended to avoid excessive contraction of surrounding muscles other than the pelvic floor muscles (abdominal muscles, hip adductor muscles, psoas muscles, etc.) during exercise and to begin training in the supine position with the pelvic organs unweighted.

At last year’s conference, we reported that when the inclination angle from supine to standing varied from 0 to 70°, the pelvic floor muscle elevation was significantly greater at an inclination angle of 20°. Therefore, it was predicted that increasing the head-up angle, which involves flexion of the subject’s hip joints and raising the upper body, might increase the amount of elevation of the pelvic floor muscles.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to clarify whether the same change in the amount of elevation of the pelvic floor muscle group occurs in the head-up posture with hip flexion.

Methods and Materials

- Thirty-two healthy women without orthopedic disease in the lumbar region, pelvic girdle area, or lower extremities who gave consent were included in the study. The mean and standard deviation of age, height, weight, and BMI of the subjects was 21.2 ± 0.9 years, 161.5 ± 6.0 cm, 53.6 ± 6.7 kg, and 20.5 ± 1.8 kg/m².
- An ultrasound system (SONIMAGE MX1 Konica Minolta) was used to measure pelvic floor elevation (length of pelvic floor moved headward from rest), using a 3.5 MHz convex-type probe.
  • The subjects were asked to drink 500 mL of water 1 h before the measurement to allow the bladder to fill with urine. The probe was placed on the suprapubic margin to measure the bladder floor. After drawing a transverse section of the bladder and confirming the position of the pelvic floor muscles, measurements were taken three times per position, with 3 seconds of contraction and 10 seconds of relaxation as a set. We checked whether the pelvic floor muscles were correctly contracted by measuring the movement of the bladder floor toward the head when the pelvic floor muscles were voluntarily contracted. The length from the abdominal wall to the bladder floor was measured when the head-up angle was 0°, 10°, and 20°. The order of measurement positions was randomized.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS statics26.0 (IBM) with a significance level of 5%. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare pelvic floor elevation in the three limb positions. This study was conducted after approval by the Research Safety and Ethics Committee (Approval No. 21036).

Results

- The mean (standard deviation) pelvic floor elevation at 0°, 10°, and 20° head-up angles were 7.5 (5.9), 6.9 (5.8), and 7.8 (6.4) mm, respectively.
- There was no significant difference between the three groups (F(2,93) = 0.217, p=0.806).

Discussion
At last year’s conference, we reported that when the tilt angle from the back lying to standing was changed from 0 to 70°, the pelvic floor muscles’ elevation was significantly greater at a 20° tilt. Therefore, in this study, we speculated that increasing the head-up angle with hip flexion. However, in the present measurements, there was no significant difference between the three groups. This suggests that the amount of elevation of the pelvic floor muscles does not change significantly with varying head-up angles.

Since the pelvic floor muscles are weakly supported, abdominal pressure may be applied to the pelvic floor muscles when the pelvic girdle is excessively anteriorly tilted and the abdominal muscle groups are weakly supported. In this case, the amount of elevation of the pelvic floor muscles may not increase with increasing head-up angles.

Conclusions
Although the upper body was moved by having the patient do head up, no consideration was given to the anterior, posterior, or intermediate position of the pelvic girdle. It was thought that the contraction activity of the pelvic floor muscles may have differed due to the different positions of the pelvic girdle for each individual. It is necessary to measure the activity of the pelvic floor muscles at positions that take into account the relationship between the spinal column and pelvic girdle, not just the angle of the upper body.