

#534. Detrusor pressure during Neurogenic Detrusor Overactivity: How much is too much?

Amato I¹, Fede Spicchiale C¹, Topazio L², Pacini P³, Parisi I⁴, Fasano A¹, Gerardi M¹, Pletto S¹, Finazzi Agrò E¹, Lacovelli V⁵

Department of Urology, Policlinico Tor Vergata, University of Tor Vergata, Rome, 2. Department of Urology, Teramo Hospital, Teramo, 3. Department of Urology, Leopoldo Parodi Delfino Hospital, Colleferro,
Department of Urology, IRCCS Santa Lucia, Rome, 5. Department of Urology, San Carlo di Nancy Hospital, Rome

BACKGROUND AND AIM OF THE STUDY

Upper urinary tract (UUT) deterioration and urosepsis are one of the top three causes of death for patients with spinal cord injuries (SCI)¹.

Urodynamics (UDM) is critical for correctly identifying and diagnosing hazardous pressure changes and for the management of neurogenic LUTS². So far, there is no consensus on which UDS parameters can predict UUT deterioration³. In this setting, the role and impact of neurogenic Detrusor Overactivity Leak Point Pressure⁴ is controversial for the lack of data.

DETRUSOR OVERACTIVITY LEAK POINT PRESSURE (DOLPP)

Investigation, defined by ICS as:

Lowest detrusor pressure rise with detrusor overactivity at which urine leakage first occurs in the absence of a voluntary detrusor contraction or increased abdominal pressure.

Primary aim was to determine which UDS parameters are correlated to vesico-ureteral reflux (VUR); secondary aim was to define a reliable cut-off for N-DOLPP.

METHODS

This study was designed as a retrospective comparative single-center study based on data from UDS of adult SCI

RESULTS

We found significant differences in the UDS parameters between the two groups:

	Group A	Group B	
UDS Parameters	(no VUR)	(VUR)	p-value
Maximum cystometric capacity	447.5 (117.6)	243.5 (140.7)	<0,0001
(CCmax) ml, mean (SD) range	150 - 700	30 - 500	
Presence of NDO n, %	48 (90.5%)	11 (100%)	> 0.05
Bladder filling at first detrusor contraction ml (BF-DC), mean	279.8 (122.5)	146.8 (95.1)	0,001
(SD) range	50 - 550	30 - 330	
Maximum detrusor pressure	39.6 (25.3)	77.2 (45.8)	0,0003
cmH2O (DPmax), mean (SD) range	12 - 111	28 - 160	
N-DOLPP cmH2O, mean (SD)	41.2 (24.9)	61 (31)	0,025
range	28 - 90	28 - 95	

From the ROC curve analysis, a N-DOLPP cut-off 40 cmH2O correctly classified as VUR or no VUR 62.5% of patients (Sensibility: 72.7%; Specificity: 60.4%).



Table 1 shows the characteristics of the two cohorts, which were substantially homogeneous.

	Group A (no VUR)	Gruppo B (VUR)
Tot	53	11
M, n (%)	43 (81.1%)	9 (81.8%)
F, n (%)	10 (18.9%)	2 (18.2%)
Age (years), range (mean)	20 - 75 (38)	19 - 52 (28)
SCI site, n (%)		
Cervical	15 (28.3%)	2 (18.2%)
High Thoracic (≤D6)	17 (32.1%)	2 (18.2%)
Low Thoracic (>D6)	20 (37.7%)	7 (63.6%)
Lumbar	1 (1.9%)	0
Time from injury, days, range (mean)	102 – 22064 (3781)	25 – 947 (436)
Micturition		
Reflex voiding. n (%)	5 (9.4%)	2 (18.2%)
CIC. n (%)	20 (37.7%)	6 (54.5%)
Permanent CV, n (%)	28 (52.8%)	3 (27.3%)
Urge urinary incontinence		. ,
YES, n (%)	24 (45.3%)	8 (72.7%)
NO, n (%)	29 (54.7%)	3 (27.3%)
Pharmacological Treatment		
No	10 (19%)	6 (54.5%)

Considering the groups N-DOLPP >= 40 cmH2O or <40 cmH2O, the odds-ratio for VUR was 4.06 (Cl95% 0.97-17.10, p=0.056).



CONCLUSIONS

According to our data, VUR was associated to lower bladder capacity, precocious DO (lower bladder filling at first DC), higher mean N-DOLPP and higher Pdetmax during detrusor overactivity at filling cystometry.

Our analysis also suggests 40 cmH2O as an optimal cutoff for N-DOLPP, and identify NDO-LPP > 40 cmH2O as risk-factor for developing VUR in neurological SCI patients.

No Yes (Antimuscarinic or Botox or Combined Therapy) 10 (19%) 43 (81%) 6 (54.5%) 5 (45.5%)

Chi square test was used for categorical data, and Student's T test for unpaired data. Pvalue were considered significant for <0.05. The relation between UDS variables and VUR was also analyzed.

ROC curves were built to individuate the optimal N-DOLPP.

This suggests that the ideal treatment should aim to improve bladder capacity and compliance and keep the detrusor pressure during contractions <40 cmH2O, identified as a safety N-DOLPP cut-off.

REFERENCES

1. Savic, G., DeVivo, M., Frankel, H. et al. Causes of death after traumatic spinal cord injury—a 70-year British study. Spinal Cord 55, 891–897 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.2017.64

2. Schurch, B., Iacovelli, V., Averbeck, M. A., Carda, S., Altaweel, W., & Finazzi Agrò, E. (2018). Urodynamics in patients with spinal cord injury: a clinical review and best practice paper by a working group of the international continence society urodynamics committee. *Neurourology and urodynamics*, 37(2), 581-591. DOI: 10.1002/nau.23369

3. Blok, B. et al. EAU Guidelines on Neuro-Urology EAU Guidelines. Edn. presented at the EAU Annual Congress Amsterdam 2022. (EAU Guidelines Office, Arnhem, The Netherlands. http://uroweb.org/guidelines/compilations-of-all-guidelines/, 2022).

4. Gazewski JB, Schurch B, Hamid R, Averbeck M, Sakakibara R, Finalli Agro E, Dickinson T, Payne C, Drake MJ, Haylen BT. An International Continence Society (ICS) Report on the Terminology for Adult Neurogenic Lower Urinary Tract Dysfunction (ANLUTD) Neurourol Urodyn 2017 Nov 17 DOI: 10.1002/nau.23397