#374 Evaluate the Recurrence risk factors in the Patient after the Surgical Repair of Vesicovaginal fistula

Kraipith Udomsombatmeechai MD, Wattanachai Ratanapornsompong MD

Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand



Introduction

- One of the major complications of VVF surgery is recurrent fistula formation and it is difficult to prognosticate factors responsible for recurrence

- In spite of large-scale research on VVF, there are very few studies addressing the factors which predict the possible outcome of surgical repair

- The current study was planned to review characteristics of the patients, fistula and the surgical procedures in order to identify the factors helpful in determining the prognosis of surgical repair of VVF

Methodology

Results

- In the total of 81 patients, 48 patients were success repair, 33 patients were recurrence VVF

- The statistically significant factor of recurrence VVF including flap interposition (p < 0.001), post operative UTI (p < 0.05), route of repair (p < 0.05), surgeon (p < 0.05) and hospital (p < 0.001)

- Univariate analysis determined that the recurrence of VVF was significantly related to flap interposition used (6-fold recurrence risk for not being used flap interposition),

- The retrospective study was collected data of patients with clinically recurrence vesicovaginal fistula after vesicovaginal fistula surgery from January 1969 to December 2020

- All the patients who had previous VVF surgery were included in the study

Results

Table 1 Demographic data

Variable	Total n=81	Success n=48	Recurrent n=33	P-value
Age (year), mean±SD	46.8 <u>+</u> 9.2	47.7 <u>+</u> 8.9	45.5 <u>+</u> 9.7	0.289
Weight (kg), mean±SD	58.8+8.9	57.1+7.6	61.3+10.3	0.042
Height (cm), mean±SD	156.8 <u>+</u> 5.1	156.7+5.3	156.9+.50	0.844
BMI (kg/m ²), mean±SD	23.9+3.6	23.3+3.2	24.8 <u>+</u> 4.1	0.058
Parity, n(%)				
Nulliparous	1(16.7)	1(20.0)	0	0.999
Uniparous	4(66.6)	3(60.0)	1(100)	
Multiparous	1(16.7)	1(20.0)	Ì0 Í	
Size group, n(%)				•
< 10 mm	51(62.9)	34(70.8)	17(51.5)	0.102
> 10 mm	30(37.0)	14(29.2)	16(48.5)	
Number, n(%)				
Single	78(96.3)	46(95.8)	32(97.0)	0.999
Multiple	3(3.7)	2(4.2)	1(3.0)	
Location, n(%)				
Supratrigone	75(92.6)	46(95.8)	29(87.9)	0.219
Infratrigone	6(7.4)	2(4.2)	4(12.1)	
Etiology, n(%)				
Hysterectomy	75(92.6)	45(93.7)	30(90.9)	0.683
Labor	3(3.7)	1(2.1)	2(6.1)	0.564
Other	7(8.6)	4(8.3)	3(9.1)	0.999
Route repair, n(%) n=76			, , ,	
Transvaginal	21(27.6)	7(14.6)	14(50.0)	< 0.01
Transabdominal	44(57.9)	33(68.7)	11(39.3)	
Laparoscopic	11(14.5)	8(16.7)	3(10.7)	
Flap interposition, n(%) n=64				
No	19(29.7)	8(17.0)	11(64.7)	< 0.001
Yes	45(70.3)	39(83.0)	6(35.3)	
Post-op UTI, n(%) n=68				
No	63(92.6)	47(97.9)	16(80.0)	< 0.05
Yes	5(7.4)	1(2.1)	4(20.0)	
Surgeon, n(%)				
High experience	38(46.9)	28(58.3)	10(30.3)	< 0.05
	43(53.1)	20(41.7)	23(69.7)	

Table 2 Risk Recurence VVF

Variable	univariate		
variable	HR(95%CI) P-value		
Size group, n(%)			
< 10 mm	1		
\geq 10 mm	1.835(0.92-3.68) 0.087		
Route of repair, n=76			
Transabdominal	1		
Transvaginal	2.413(1.09-5.35) < 0.05		
Laparoscopic	0.934(0.26-3.37) 0.919		
Flap interposition, n=64			
Yes	1		
No	6.179(2.14-17.87) < 0.05		
Post-op UTI, n=68			
No	1		
Yes	3.685(1.22-11.16) < 0.05		
Surgeon			
High experience	1		
Other	2.107(1.00-4.44) 0.050		

post op UTI (3-fold recurrence risk), route of repair (2-fold recurrence risk for transvaginal approach), experience of surgeon (2-fold recurrence risk for less experience surgeon), hospital (6-fold recurrence risk for other hospital)
In the other hand, Age, BMI, cause, size, number and location of VVF were not significant recurrence risk factor.

- In our series the flap interposition was a protective factor for the recurrence (6-fold recurrence risk for not being used flap interposition)

- We recommend in all patients with multiple risk factors for recurrence, the flap interposition used was improve the outcome

- Post operative management is another important thing because in our study post operative UTI was the recurrence risk factor (3-fold recurrence risk), the maintenance of a dry and uninfected suture line are importance.

Conclusion

- VVF are the most encountered urinary tract fistula, and have been treated by variety of operative approach

- Flap interposition used, post operative UTI, route of repair, experience of surgeon and hospital of repair VVF were factor significant in determining outcome of successful VVF repair

Acknowledgements

1. Gerber GS, Schoenberg HW. Female urinary tract fistulas. J Urol. 1993;149(2):229-36.

2. Tebeu PM, Fomulu JN, Khaddaj S, de Bernis L, Delvaux T, Rochat CH. Risk factors for obstetric fistula: a clinical review. Int Urogynecol J. 2012;23(4):387-94.

3. Nerli R B, Reddy M, Devaraju S, Hiremath M. Laparoscopic approach to vesicovaginal fistula: Our experience. Recent Research in Science and Technology 2010, 2(8): 35-38.

4. Tancer ML. Observations on prevention and management of vesicovaginal fistula after total hysterectomy. Surgery, gynecology & obstetrics. 1992;175(6):501-6.

5. Nerli RB, Reddy M. Transvesicoscopic Repair of Vesicovaginal Fistula. Diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopy. 2010;2010:760348.

6. McFadden E, Taleski SJ, Bocking A, Spitzer RF, Mabeya H. Retrospective review of predisposing factors and surgical outcomes in obstetric fistula patients at a single teaching hospital in Western Kenya. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology Canada : JOGC = Journal d'obstetrique et gynecologie du Canada : JOGC. 2011;33(1):30-5.

7. Sotelo R, Mariano MB, García-Segui A, Dubois R, Spaliviero M, Keklikian W, et al. Laparoscopic repair of vesicovaginal fistula. J Urol. 2005;173(5):1615-8.

8. Gözen AS, Teber D, Canda AE, Rassweiler J. Transperitoneal laparoscopic repair of iatrogenic vesicovaginal fistulas: Heilbronn experience and review of the literature. Journal of endourology. 2009;23(3):475-9.

9. Erdogru T, Sanli A, Celik O, Baykara M. Laparoscopic transvesical repair of recurrent vesicovaginal fistula using with fleece-bound sealing system. Archives of gynecology and obstetrics. 2008;277(5):461-4.

10. Sundaram BM, Kalidasan G, Hemal AK. Robotic repair of vesicovaginal fistula: case series of five patients. Urology. 2006;67(5):970-3.



Mahidol University

Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital