## Suggestions for future meetings

· workshops are very expensive: maybe it would be possible to make some "payment packages" reducing workshops costs;

increase the participation of other professionals (such as nurses and physiotherapists and dietitians and psychologists) as lecturers;

- include quality of life contents;

the ICS conference offered each two years instead of annually.

1 day workshops and 2 days meeting. more intensive collaboration with iuga

1 No meeting-fee for retired persons

2 For all Committees: Not more than two members of one country!!

3 When we started more than 40 years ago we were young. There are still many active members of the beginning -now < 70 years . It would be a good idea to install a board of consultants with their experience and knowledge.

2 min. is too short time for presentations. Fewer presentations in each session and more time for each presentations

a devoted time to view posters for non discussion posters, more basic science sessions

Abstract winners should have a longer time to speak and should be given time at the beginning of the meeting when most people attend

allow more time for questions if necessary

Although a good meeting overall, the catering at lunchtime was well organised but there was no availability of hot drinks outside of lunchtime. A couple of exhibitors provided coffee and one had bottled water. Also we were, quite rudely refused a drink prior to the 5pm lecture despite being in the queue as they said the presentation was about to start, Seemed silly as they still had full jugs of juice on the table! As we then had no time to return to the hall to search for a fountain dispenser we left the presentation early.

The gala dinner was very expensive but enjoyable.

Anatomical models ofrecerte surgery

Annual dinner on the last day

Avoid the disruption caused at the AGM. Ensure that well known disruptive influences are censured and not allowed to hog all the discussion time following presentations.

Barcelona was a nice venue, however the auditorium was located quite far away from the exhibition hall and registration areas.

Being able to attent more workshops

best catering

Better exhibition

better fewer speakers but more interesting

Better work with staff at the actual venue. The staff at the venue in Barcelona (not the ICS or Kenes staff, but actual venue staff) were routinely rude and unhelpful. This certainly provided a negative view of this meeting.



cheaper

Cheaper fees

Cheaper workshops

More lectures in main exhibition hall from expert speakers rather than abstract presentations which can be run simultaneously in other rooms

Choose venues that have better physical layout - such distance to the plenaries! More combined meetings with IUGA. More accessible, more interesting, more active, more lively exhibit hall!

collaboration with EAU

combine with AUGA to get a bigger program

Combined IUGA /ICS meeting

Consider semi-structured sessions to include several papers offered, but to avoid duplication of topics, while at the same time reducing the need for concurrent sessions.

COURSES TOO EXPENSIVE

MORE PODIUM PRESENTATIONS

HAND ON COURSES

CONFERENCE DINNER VERY EXPENSIVE

Create some kind of practical courses in topics like urodinamics, transperineal echography..

Dinner menu was disappointing for the high cost

disappointment about not having joint meeting with IUGA

Do not change the format.

easier for trainees to achive inscriptions

especially for the fysiotherapie round table: more attention en proposals from the different countrys en more personally.

Every 2 years so it is always sure to have something new

Excellent to deliver lunch in exhibition area...it makes easy and fast to have lunch without long cues in cafeterias as in other cognresses...and also for free! Expand the scope of participants.

Focus on improving educational quality.

Fewer simultaneous sessions: with the corollary that paper selection should continue to be undertaken by the Scientific Committee, but grouped into well chaired semi-structured sessions

Follow the same organization of the meeting and round tables

food and locations to sit in while eating

Handout, documents regarding incontinence

Have a lecture or debate everyday both in the morning and afternoon session. It will enhance the value of the meeting.

Have teaching sessions on the first day when the committee meetings are on, for the trainees that would help towards their exams - ie cover all the recon topics with series of lectures.

Have an accrediting programme that would enable you to attend themed lectures which would lead to a certified exam / interview process that would give you a sub specialist accreditation.



Higher quality of abstracts chosen for presentation

higher scientific level, fewer poster presentations, longer presentations

how do you reach the surgeons? It is a must that also we learn about the view on the pelvic floor through the eyes of urogynaecologists

I am relatively new to the annual meeting thefore I don't have a strong opinion about changing the format however I feel that economically and from the research point of view will make more sense to do it every two years?

l am surprised that temporary results from ongoing trials are presented at podium. One should wait for complete trials.

Round table should be a forum for debate on hot topics, not only a presentation on status quo?

I am very unhappy that the join Meeting in Lyon will not be a join Meeting. Please think at physiotherapists, that have no sponsorship of the industry and never had in the past. They have to pay for two meetings even when they are presenters. We do not earn that much money.

l believe the organisers did a fine job other than allowing commercial interests to have so much traction in the presentations

l did not agree with the Board's decision to withdraw from the combined 2015 meeting with IUGA.

I don't understand why the sacral neuromodulation workshop (advanced) was fully booked and I did not get a place, even if the room had more than 30 free chairs?!!!!

I enjoy the meeting in the current form

I feel the quality of the free workshops should be better

I find the congress slightly long in duration

followed 12th Physiotherapy Round on Monday 26 August and New ICs Guidelines on 27 August (morning). Not possible to evaluate them in this evaluation.

I loved Barcelona

really liked the case presentation and would prefer to have the debates presented in this format.

I think the Friday (last day) session would be much better as a 1/2 day. The number of people who left on Friday was considerable, disadvantaging the speakers who were left. What do we need to do to keep people at the meeting? I think having more current topics such as POP at the end rather than geriatrics might help. I woudl suggest, in fact that Geriatric focus be on the 1st day to give it some recognition.

I think the poster podiums of 2 mins plus 3 mins is a bit too short I would prefer them to be more like 3mins and 4 mins.

I think you should ensure the refreshments for breaks and lunches continue. I have been to events where these are not provided, and it is very distressing to have to run about to find lunch in a strange city when you want to get back into the scientific sessions on time

want to make dinner more magnificent.

I would like a designated poster session for the non-discussion posters whereby all poster presenters have to stand by their posters for a specified period, this will greatly improve feedback and discussion and will benifit both presenters and non- presenters



would like a Workshop something like "getting started as a urogynecologist" giving basic tools and answers

I would like The workshop to be less expensive

would offer free wifi at the congress. It's an easy way of consulting de program and abstracts during or before de sessions

I would welcome more sessions focused on peri partum UI and FI and discussion on timing of ascertainment, the variation in reported prevalence etc. More presentations of findings from systematic reviews and meta analysis would be interesting

ICS should promote independent clinical or basic science trials or studies and discuss them during the Meeting

ICS should sponsor research projects with \$\$ from various companies and then metered out based on MERIT

ICS skimped on food and entertainment at this meeting. Although not the main reason I attended, it was one of the main reasons I didn't enjoy this conference as much as Glasgow, Toronto, or San Francisco.

Improve food

## IMPROVING QUALITY OF RECEPTION AND COFFE BREAK

in the area of pelvic floor muscle training I suggest to call for new speakers from other countries in Latin America, Asia or Africa. It is important to hear what researchers from these regions have to say and to show.

Include conservative management (physiotherapy) in any ultrasound round-table session.

Have dedicated poster times where presenters are present by their posters - it's too much to expect one presenter to be present during every scheduled break over three days.

increase interaction clinics with basic science, no non-discussion poster, longer lectures -> 2 min is not efficient, rather presentation with slides instead of nondiscussion poster, necessary: a proper poster session with the option to discuss and exchange knowledge with the presenter

Increase plenary sessions, reduce poster presentations of low / variable quality

Increase the level of the speakers and posters

Increase the time for discussion on poster sections and decrease the number of accepted posters

introduce podium sessions in the aftenoons

introduction with coffee, direction indicators

introductions with a drink: water of fresh drinks is all good. And a better way outside the road where it is.

invite more urologists to speak

It is difficult for Venezuelan participants to attend to the meetings and to participate in more Workshops, since our country has a strong currency regulation and we don't have free access to dollars or any other international currency. In order to register or even participate, we need to get permission from the government and our limit in dollars per year is 2000 US\$ for Amerika and 3000 US\$ for Europe, which is not enough if we need to pay hotel accomodations, registration fees and workshops, as well as for food and transportation in the country. Therefore, I suggest, to include Venezuela in the list of 3rd World Countries & Poor Countries, or to offer the option to ICS Members of our country to get sponsored to attend the Meeting.



It should be organised every 2 years instead of anually.

It was very good to have the 2 first days together with other physioterapists.

The topics were also more relevant for me these first 2 days.

It would be interesting and useful to have free WiFi connection during the meetings. Also, it would be thoughtful to have live-translation in Spanish as it's the third most speaken language in the world.

It would be nice that gluten-free food (for example) was available for those who have intolerances.

Keep Jane Meilnick and IC booth; maybe take her materials at the ICS booth.

keep locations to Europe or North America

Keep up the good work

lack of surgical aspects should be reviewed

some weak postes should not be accepted

less familiar faces, less omnipotent clinicians

less poster podium and more abstract presentations

Less poster speakers but more time for each single one in presenting her/his poster.

level is already high; try to reach 3th world / African world

limit microphone intervention of some voicy delegate to favour others

Location America / Canada / Europe

longest workshop

Lunch was not up to standard. This good be better next time. More drinks available. this time only coffee, tea, water. Also coffee etc available before start of the first session

make it shorter. 2 days will do

Make possible simultaneous activities

Activities mainly oriented to fellows and trainees

Make poster presentations longer. Five minutes for presentation and questions are too short when there are so many presentations within each session. Leads to brain fatigue. One of the best sessions for interactive discussion was one where two presenters did not attend. The subsequent discussion was far ranging, indepth and very interesting. Maybe, in addition to 'Meet the Expert' lunchtimes, there could also be provocative question sessions to allow for ideas to be debates in smaller groups.

Make presenters stick to time to allow more time for questions

Make sure that the speakers are understandable in English. Too many speakers were extremely difficult to understand - and if they are not understandable - provide the participant with translaters.

Make sure they are in attractive destinations at times that allow people to attend. Last week of August in Barcelona was a very attractive proposition. I brought my wife for the first time, and she also enjoyed the time in Barcelona enormously, while I was at the conference, and we had enough time in the evenings and after the conference to enjoy the visit together.

make the workshop free

Many meetings and some workshops were fully booked very early

material material material



May be: bebats with prons and cons with real experts

maybe it would be better to have this meeting only every two years

maybe less days

mentoring sessions

more practical point counterpoint discussions

More accessible wifi and computers.

Gluten free lunchbags for meet the experts

The aircon was to cold, people were unconfortable

more case based discussions in plenary session

More combined meetings with IUGA

more conferances

More days for workshops--I wanted to attend several but schedules overlapped

More debate sessions on important clinical controversies and debate with audience

More discussion time for the podium posters.

More emphasis on MDT working - role the nurses play

More free lectures for all to attend

more free workshops

More Higliht session, state of the Art and more video session

More keynote talks.

more lectures

More meet the expert sessions

more pelvic floor physiotherapy to exhibition

more places on work shops

more podiumsessions instead of so many postersessions

once per two years instead of every year, in these years of crisis, besides that because of the newsworth,

more pro and cons discussion, for example for the use of mesh in POP-therapy

More round tables and debates

more round tables

improve video sessions

improve poster sessions



More session for anal incontinens, at least two poster sessions

More State of Art Lectures.

More state of the art

more state of the art lectures

More state of the art lectures and debates

More state of the art lectures and round tables / debate

more state of the art lectures, more expert lectures or loinger oral presentations

More State-of-the-Art and Round-Table meetings

More time for discussion after the presentations and posters

More time for discussion of presentations. During the urodynamic session on thursday afternoon, it seemed like only a few were able to voice their opinions but at the expense of many others

more time investigation in the roundtable for pelvicfloor therapist. When there is more time to spend with each of the therapists there is more chance to share information.

more urological topics would be appreciated

More video presentations on surgical techniques.

more workshops that teach skill s

workshops teaching about the best or newest assessment and questionnaires to use

More Young colleagues

Need to have WIFI throughout venue, you had an excellent interactive programme online, complete with abstracts, but we couldn't access it or the abstracts in the lectures. This would help with the green credentials of the conference buy reducing the need to print abstracts or carry the pre-conference journal.

Need to keep venues central for the majority of attendess, e.g., Europe and need

Neuro Urology was underrepresented in the Scientific Programm , too few submissions or too low quality

No - this one was excellent

no need to organise a dinner?

no summer time

No, I really like the format of the meeting (but I have only attended three so still new for me!).

Non-discussion Posters:

There are hundreds of posters and it is unfair to ask poster presenters to stand at their poster during EVERY break as they lose out on networking and exhibition time. This means you rarely get to meet the poster author. Perhaps it would be better to assign say 100 posters to each coffee break or lunch time and suggest that the authors stand next to their posters. This is a much better use of the viewer time as they have a good chance of conversing with the author and allows poster presenters to have a specified half hour to present their poster to get feedback.

not always such a big auditorium for the reports, when there are fewer people to attend don't always name it a workshop, some seemd really interesting, but workshops are really expensive!!!!

Not in the Summertime



Nunmber of the state of the ARt lectures should be higher

open the exhibition area from the first day of congress!

Opening ceremony with more local Features - Music less loud . a more cozy atmosphere!

Organisation of stage and sound for presenters of abstracts need a lot of improvement. Often the presented could not clearly hear the chairman's comments or the questions from the audience

papers selected for oral presentations should be of higher scientific quality

Please release program details earlier if possible.

Please ring a Bell if the sessions are going to start again. Hereby, you will prevent that people will constant walk in during presentations.

People from kenes were very helpful in helping me to get a new poster since mine was lost during the flight.

Point- Counterpoint Sessions.

Take Home messages.

Possibly shorter

Presentation slide preparation should be improved.

Presenters should be able to respond for all questions raised.

Presentations more practical

presenters should master english language

Presenters who do not speak English may benefit from pre-presentation assistance in preparing talks.

Programme and quality of abstracts should improve and people from Japan and china & europe should be allowed to present in native language with options for translation to improve participation and discussion.

Provide full free wifi coverage at the venue (having one small hotspot is unacceptable), stop providing presentation time to "old friends" with poor language skills and lack of recent knowledge (like T. Mascarenhas), improve quality of food during lunches and stop riping people of money for so called gala dinners (three small courses, normal food, no welcome drink, waiting even for water till 20:00, all for 115 EUR - what a deal!).

Rather disappointed to see that the workshop on the role of the brain in bladder control was no longer offered this year. I hope this is a consideration for future meetings.

reasonable prices better roundtable space for physios

reconstructive urogynecology

Reduce the number of posters session

reduce abstract acceptance

suppress read by title

better selection of abstracts

group presentation about metaanalysis

reduce the frequency of meetings to once ev<u>ery 18 months</u>



Reduce the meeting rates (registration, eg.);

Incentives for young researchers;

Promote the scientific discussion between young and senior researchers and clinical people (sometimes the people look like separated groups by specific themes)

Reduce the registration fees and encourage much wider participation

Registration for one day only (for local researchers)

Round table discussions did not live up to name. They were a series of further talks.

Round tables is an exelent way to seek what is new, latest studies, meet collegues.

SCIENTIFIC SESSIONS Experience. NO META-ANALYSIS....THE FUTURE

see other comments on Bias

sell more stuff

Shorter abstracts might be necessary.

Shorter?

Should have more state of the art lectures or round table discussions

Some of the speaker do not speak English very well. This makes their presentations very difficult to understand and discussions about their studies impossible. Professional translators could solve this problem.

Unfortunately this also happend during one of the state of art lectures!

Some workshops could be sponsorised by industry and therefore free

More attendance available on workshops (bigger rooms, etc)

State of art lectures should me more

SURGERY FOR THE URGENCY SYMPTOMES

Thank you

The 2-minute limit for presentation of posters is too short.. too many questions are unanswered and the time for questions is used for clarification.

the Asian speakers are hard to listen to and they do not understand the Questions

The booked hotel was very overprised for the roomtype we had, unfortunatelly.

The ICS has degenerated due to the disruption caused by a few individuals who should be expelled from the society. In addition the ICS needs to develop a far less hostile approach towards industry as the attitude shown over the last few years has meant less funding and the ICS is now compromised because of financial problems

The non discussion-poster session should be changed in my opinion. All the presenter should have at least 3 hour- session where they can talk about their poster to people who are interested. This year was difficult as no one presenter was available to ask questions about the poster.



the organisation of round table during lunch was not so good but the all programm is so huge

THE poster communications were too short

The round table discussions were a series of talks and not discussions

THe selection of what is presented, how the presentations are organized and grouping aren't very good. I don't think there is consensus on the scientific program committee of what should be presented - and in what venue.

the signage was to the exhibition was poor.

the social events must improve anbd be better value for money.

Organisers must attend the gala dinner

the speakers have to know english!!!! good enough

and should be often more experienced or their head of the department shoul be at the place too

The time is too long and committee meeting should be hold in the middle of the meeting

the time of 2 min for presentations were often too short to understand sufficient info to participate in questions. A longer time, with less for Q may be more useful.

The workshops could give to the participantes the handout of the presentation before the meeting and to indicate the references.

The workshops were put in 2 days and I wanted to attend workshops which overlapped each other. I wish the workshops could be more widened so that there was no time lap and we had the opportunity to attend more workshops.

There are some rather noisy people who should be turned down a little

There should be more debates during the Scientific Programme

There should be more practically-oriented sessions.

thoroughly enjoyed the opportunity to attend as a nurse, network and meet with my peers at a scientific meeting

to change a little bit more person as speakera and experts

To charge less for the workshops

to develop sessions devoted to public helath in ICS

to speak about the young female

Too much infighting, Too political, little of relevance to a practising surgeon. I shall not attend again (after 20 years). I shall concentrate on IUGA in future

Topics in fecal incontinence

try to attrack more academic physicians

venue easy to access Europe or North America



venues only in Europe/ north America

well done

When workshops programmed for the first two days, there were some confusion in decision making that which workshop i should attend. If workshop programe will be extended for other days, overlaps may resolve.

Why not every meeting together with IUGA. a lot of visitors are choosing IUGA or ICS. i do think you will have more visitors if you are organizing the two congresses together

Work shop Registration fee should be reduced

Number of workshops to be reduced

Work shop should be free to attend.

workshop in diferent time of the same object. For exemple, I would like to watch w29 and w30, but o couldnt.

Workshop should be free asistence for registrated participants

Workshops and dinner must be cheaper

Workshops for young urogynaecologists: compendium: urogynaecology for the pocket

Workshops were condensed in two days as morning and afternoon sessions. There were many interesting topics which were beginning at the same time period. Therefore I could not attend some of the workshops that I was very interested in. Other than this I wish there were more State of the Arts lectures.

Workshops were limited to two days and most excellent wrorkshops overlapped each other, therefore I could not attend most of the workshops that I wanted to. The workshops could have distributed across the five days of the congress.

yes the main dinner was of very poor quality and needs to be radically improved. The food was inedibale and the cost exorbitant

Yes, I like the idea of having your poster up all week. But I strongly think that there should be at least specific time slot (for instance one hour) during the meeting when each participant should stand by their poster. This time slot should be indicated in the program and would allow you to approach and talk to this person

