

## ICS Education Committee Meeting Minutes

**Monday 12th September 2016,**  
**Venue: Tokyo International Forum**  
**Room: G405**  
**Time: 15:00-18:00**

**Attendees:** Ervin Kocjancic (Chair), Alex Digesu, Marijke Slieker Ten Hove, Kari Tikkinen, Enrico Finazzi Agro, Nikolaus Veit Rubin,

**Also In Attendance:** Avicia Burchill, Elise De, Chris Payne,

**Apologies:** Nadir Osman, Margot Damser, Frankie Bates, Naoki Yoshimura

1	EK welcomed all and welcomed back ED. Approval of London meeting minutes MS proposed and AD seconded the motion. All agreed, motion approved.
2	Terms of office & Terms of Reference It was discussed that MS will to step down in Florence and that the physiotherapy committee should be consulted to put forward another candidate to hold the representative position. As AD will now be a member of the Board it was agreed that AD would let the committee know what the Board agreed about Trustee representation. <i>Post-script note: The Board agreed that Chris Payne would no longer be education ex-officio and Alex would remain a member of the committee. Mauro Cervingi would replace Chris Payne as ex-officio.</i>
3	<b>Workshops:</b> AB requested whether the committee wanted any changes to workshop application for 2017. AB explained that the new handout questions would be incorporated into the application process. The workshop formats were discussed and it was agreed that there will no longer be 4 hour workshops. It was also requested that when scheduling the workshops to try to keep interesting workshops for the last day to keep attendance.  Joint Nurses & Physiotherapy workshop for Florence EK explained that there was a specific request to have more activity for the nurses and physiotherapist but there had been issues with educational courses in Japan and EAUN where a physiotherapist had been invited to talk to nurses. MS explained that she had talked to Frankie Bates and they agreed that they need to find a correct way to work together. MS explained that in a lot of countries the physios are not paid for as nurses are cheaper. Physiotherapy has a different education and have a more understanding approach to the role of pelvic floor compared to nurses. MS felt that this needs to be discussed in the open and we need to find the way to create something without having a fear of upsetting either groups. It was agreed that MS will discuss with physio committee.  ACTION POINT: MS and Frankie Bates to prepare a joint nurse/physio workshop for 2017 and present to both committees.

	<p>MS expressed further concerns that physios/nurses are not being sent to developing countries and still the perception is that surgery and pharmaceuticals are being discussed. MS felt that the education committee should be suggesting insertions for conservative management within the programmes.</p>
	<p><b>Naming of Core Curriculum vs Education Course-</b></p> <p>AB felt that the naming of the free education courses run by committees were getting very wordy and also questioned whether anyone knew what an education course was compared to a workshop. It was agreed to drop the EC from the title and that it should say: W21 Urodynamics Core Curriculum (Free) followed by title</p>
	<p><b>Workshop review meeting proposal</b></p> <p>All agreed that having a face to face meeting to review the workshops is far preferred than a series of teleconference call. ED explained that she also wanted to have a face to face for all the other projects. NR raised the issue of process of reviewing the workshops and felt that those which were reviewed first are often selected.</p> <p>EK would propose to the board to have a handover period with education chair like an education chair elect. This was agreed to take this request to the Board.</p> <p><b>ACTION POINT:</b> Change terms of reference of education committee to allow education chair to be in elect position for one year prior to take over or allow education chair to stay on as “past chair”.</p> <p><b>ACTION POINT:</b> ED to request face to face meeting in February 2017 in budget request.</p>
4	<p><b>Online Education Content:</b></p> <p>A discussion was held about the recording of the workshops in Tokyo and EK raised concerns about lack of workshops being recorded. AB felt that this communication had been expressed to EK prior to the meeting as the office currently has limited capability to record and edit the content. There is a pending request to the Board for more staff to assist with editing content but it was also expressed by the IT department that filming content at the annual meeting was not the best format and that a studio format makes for a better video. Also the current capacity allowed for more “highlight/interview style” videos. CP apologised that the communication was not clear enough and noted there has been some frustration. CP explained the introduction of the online education content strategy into the main strategy document. CP further explained that the Board wanted the education committee to be the steering committee so if the neurourology committee want to do spinal cord injury/Parkinson educational video they still do the content but would follow the standard operating procedure (AB to develop SOP’s for all online content). A discussion was held about creating a new committee who could supervise what is the ICS teaching. There may be old content that might be worth keeping.</p> <p>Concerns were discussed about the quality of some presenters and AD suggested to hire a professional speaker instead of an ICS member. ED also suggested that the author of the content joins by webex to ensure that the content is being expressed correctly.</p> <p>MS suggested that as the Board is developing elearning perhaps there can be content in different languages. NR stated that the evidence based language is English but that should a local organization wish to use the content they should then provide the translation.</p>

5	<b>2017 Plans</b>
	<p>PACS 2017 application</p> <p>A discussion was held and concerns were raised about the continued financial support of PACS. It was agreed to propose a fixed sum of £1000 towards the costs of the two speakers for the 2017 meeting. The education committee felt that the ICS should be present at PACS so that we can reach the local nurses and physiotherapists that are otherwise not included in the education. The education committee noted that the affiliation numbers from PACS has been dropping in recent years and that this should be addressed by PACS.</p>
	<p>EAUN 2017 – It was agreed to pursue this and the nursing committee to prepare a local nurse speaker. EF would assist with following this lecture up as he knows the president of EAUN</p>
	<p>EAU Lecture – EK explained that he had been invited to speak for ICS at ESFFU at EAU in March, London at no cost to ICS.</p>
	<p>SIU Portugal 2017- AB explained that a speaker was still required as SIU were changing the format of their society sessions. The names Maura Selme/Francisco Cruz/David Castro Diaz were suggested.</p> <p>ACTION POINT: ED to write to Gopal, SIU president and clarify the format and how many speakers would be allowed.</p>
	<p>AUA Boston 2017 – AB explained that a speaker required and Sherif had been chasing AUA. ED suggested the GUP with Marcus Drake as speaker.</p>
	<p>SIUD – EF explained that SIUD would be requesting a urodynamics course in 2017.</p> <p>ACTION POINT: EF to prepare course request for SIUD.</p>
	<p>Proposals for education courses budget</p>
	<p>AOB</p> <p>Cadaver course – CP presented the proposed cadaver course and explained that the reasons behind it are to re-enforce the ICS brand, it a controlled programme, budget and to attempt to make money on. A discussion was held with regards to the cadver course. Ek felt it was limited version. AD want to avoid conflict with other running courses and suggested to increase the recognition fee for the LMEDAC course. Concerns were also raised about the perception of being Bristol based. AB explained that there are several reasons why the course would be in Bristol, most financial due to staff base. It was agreed to ED to discuss and review further within the next month.</p> <p>ACTION POINT: Review of proposed cadaver course, including confirmation of budget.</p> <p>ACTION POINT: Review the course recognition application costs with a view to increasing.</p>